arXiv:1610.04998v1 [math.KT] 17 Oct 2016

HOMOLOGY PRO STABILITY FOR PRO UNITAL RINGS, AND RELATIVE ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY

RYOMEI IWASA

Abstract. Let A be a pro unital commutative ring (e.g. an ideal of a noetherian commutative ring). We prove that the canonical map $\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$ is a pro isomorphism for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(A)$. As its corollary, we prove an effective K-theory pro excision: The pro system of the relative K-groups $\{K_l(R, A^m)\}_m$ is isomorphic to $\{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m$ for every unital ring R which contains A as a two-sided ideal and $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(R)$.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Stability for K_1 5
2. Pro unital rings 12
3. Pro acyclicity of triangular spaces 15
4. Homology pro stability 31
5. Relative algebraic K-theory 45
Appendix A. Proof of the pro fiber lemma 51
References 65

Introduction

The homology stability for general linear groups is a simple but deep question on homological algebra. Let A be an associative unital ring. We consider the general linear groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ of A and their sequence

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n+2}(A) \hookrightarrow \cdots,$$

where each embedding is defined by sending α to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The question is whether the induced maps on the integral group homology

$$H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A)) \longrightarrow H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)) \longrightarrow H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+2}(A)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

are isomorphisms for $n \gg l$. There have been many works on this problem, and the most striking result was obtained by Suslin.

Theorem 1 (Suslin [Su82])**.** *Let A be an associative unital ring. Then the canonical map*

$$H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A))$$

is an isomorphism for $n \geq \max(2l+1, l + \mathrm{sr}(A))$, where $\mathrm{sr}(A)$ is the stable range of A.

Accordingly, the homology stability for general linear groups seems to be solved satisfactory.

However, things become much harder and interesting if we consider *non-unital* rings. Then the homology stability is strongly related with "K-theory excision" and "H-unitality".



Let A be an associative ring, which is not necessarily unital. (In the rest of the introduction, we call such a ring just a ring.) We define its general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ to be the kernel of the canonical map $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we say that A *satisfies the homology stability* if the canonical map

$$H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A)) \to H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A))$$

is an isomorphism for $n \gg l$. We can show that if A satisfies the homology stability, then A satisfies the K-theory excision, which we explain now.

The algebraic K-theory assigns a simplicial set $K(R)$ to a unital ring R in a functorial way. Here, we adopt Quillen's plus construction; $K(R) := B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+$ (we take no account of π_0). For a unital ring R with a two-sided ideal A, we define the n-th relative K-group by

$$K_n(R, A) := \pi_n \operatorname{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+ \to B\,\mathrm{GL}(R/A)^+).$$

For a ring A, we say that A *satisfies the K-theory excision* if, for every unital ring R which contains A as a two-sided ideal and for $n \geq 1$, the canonical map

$$K_n(\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A, A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K_n(R, A)$$

is an isomorphism. It is well-known that the K-theory excision fails in general. Hence, the homology stability for non-unital rings also fails in general.

On the other hand, Suslin has completely determined the obstruction for the K-theory excision. We say that a ring A is *H-unital* if $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Theorem 2 (Suslin [Su95])**.** *A ring A satisfies the K-theory excision if and only if A is H-unital.*

Now, we may hope that a ring A satisfies the homology stability if A is H-unital and of finite stable range. Again, Suslin has given a partial solution.

Theorem 3 (Suslin [Su96])**.** *Let A be an H-unital $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. Then the canonical map*

$$H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A))$$

is an isomorphism for $n \geq \max(2l + 1, 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1)$.

We remark that he used the Malcev theory in the proof, which works only for $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras.

Note that H-unitality demands $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}) = A/A^2 = 0$, which rarely happens if A is commutative and non-unital. An easy observation opens up a new possibility, namely the pro system

$$\{\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})\}_{m\geq 1}$$

vanishes for any rings A. We say that a ring A is *pro unital* if $\{\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z})\} = 0$ as a pro system for $i > 0$. Then a miracle happens.

Theorem 4 (Morrow [Mo15])**.** *Every ideal of a noetherian commutative ring is pro unital.*

One of the purpose of this paper is making it clear how related "homology pro stability", "K-theory pro excision" and "pro unitality" are.



We say that a ring A satisfies the *K-theory pro excision* if, for every unital ring R which contains A as a two-sided ideal and for $n \geq 1$, the canonical map

$$\{K_n(\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A, A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{K_n(R, A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism. Geisser and Hesselholt have generalized Suslin's theorem on the K-theory excision to the pro setting.

Theorem 5 (Geisser-Hesselholt [GH06])**.** *A ring A satisfies the K-theory pro excision if A is pro unital.*

Homology pro stability is our vital point. We say that A *satisfies the homology pro stability* if the canonical map

$$\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(A)$. Here is our main theorem.

Theorem 6 (Corollary 4.6)**.** *If A is a pro unital commutative ring, then A satisfies the homology pro stability.*

We should remark that the commutativity assumption may not be essential. We expect that the theorem is true without the commutativity assumption.

The homology pro stability implies (a part of) the K-theory pro excision.

Theorem 7 (Theorem 5.3)**.** *Let R be a unital ring and A a two-sided ideal of R. Assume that A satisfies the homology pro stability (e.g noetherian commutative). Then there exists a canonical pro isomorphism*

$$\{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{K_l(R, A^m)\}_m$$

for $l \geq 1$ and $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(R)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ is Bousfield-Kan's $\mathbb{Z}$-completion.

This theorem not only implies the K-theory pro excision, but also gives a concrete description of the relative K-pro groups.

Outline. In §1, we collect some stability results for K_1. In §2, we collect some properties for pro unital rings. We do not claim any originality for the contents in §1 and §2.

§3 is the heart of this paper. In this section, we prove the *pro acyclicity theorem*. For a ring A and a partial ordering σ on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $T_n^\sigma(A)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ generated by elementary matrices $e_{ij}(a)$ with $i <_\sigma j$ and $a \in A$.

Theorem 8 (Theorem 3.13)**.** *Let A be a pro unital commutative ring. Then, for $n \geq 2l+1$,*

$$\Big\{ H_l\Big(\bigcup_\sigma BT_n^\sigma(A^m) \Big) \Big\}_m = 0$$

as a pro system, where σ runs over all partial orderings on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

In §4, we complete the proof of Theorem 6, using the pro acyclicity theorem.

In §5, we study the relative K-theory, using the homology pro stability. In this section, we prove Theorem 7.

At the beginning of each section, we write further explanation.

Acknowledgment. The study of homology pro stability has started when I was working on relative Chern classes with Wataru Kai [IK16]. I am very grateful to him for many discussions and useful comments; in particular, the results in Appendix A would not be completed without his help. The majority of this paper was written when I was visiting Moritz Kerz in Universität Regensburg. I thank him for his kind hospitality and interest in my work. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16J08843, and by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

1. Stability for K_1

1.1. **Summary.** In this section, we collect stability results for K_1, focusing on relative K_1 or rather K_1 of non-unital rings.

The K_1-group $K_1(R)$ of a unital ring R is defined to be the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ divided by its commutator subgroup $[\mathrm{GL}(R), \mathrm{GL}(R)]$. We also consider the group $K_{1,n}(R) := \mathrm{GL}_n(R)/[\mathrm{GL}_n(R), \mathrm{GL}_n(R)]$ for $n \geq 1$. The stability problem for K_1 is whether the canonical map

$$K_{1,n}(R) \to K_{1,n+1}(R) \tag{1.1}$$

is an isomorphism for $n \gg 0$ or not. This problem is satisfactorily solved by Vaserštein [Va69]. According to his result, the map (1.1) is an isomorphism for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(R) + 1$, where $\mathrm{sr}(R)$ is the stable range of R. In fact, he has shown more. He has considered the stability problem for *relative* K_1.

Suppose given a unital ring R and a two-sided ideal A of R. Let $\mathrm{GL}(A)$ be the kernel of the canonical map $\mathrm{GL}(R) \to \mathrm{GL}(R/A)$, which does not depend on R. Let $E(R, A)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ generated by elements of the form $e_{i,j}(r)e_{k,l}(a)e_{i,j}(-r)$ with $r \in R$ and $a \in A$, where $e_{*,*}(-)$ are elementary matrices. It follows that $E(R, A)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(A)$, and we define the relative K_1-group of the pair (R, A) by

$$K_1(R, A) := \mathrm{GL}(A)/E(R, A).$$

This group really behaves as relative theory, namely $K_1(R, A)$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$K_2(R) \twoheadrightarrow K_2(R/A) \twoheadrightarrow K_1(R, A) \twoheadrightarrow K_1(R) \twoheadrightarrow K_1(R/A).$$

We similarly define $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ and $E_n(R, A)$ for $n \geq 1$. Vaserštein [Va69] has proved that:

Theorem 1. *For $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$, $E_n(R, A)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ and the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A)/E_n(R, A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)/E_{n+1}(R, A)$$

is an isomorphism.

We may also consider the group $K_{1,n}(A) := \mathrm{GL}_n(A)/[\mathrm{GL}_n(A), \mathrm{GL}_n(A)]$ for a *non-unital* ring A. This group does not behave well as it is. The stability fails for $K_{1,n}(A)$, that is, the canonical map $K_{1,n}(A) \to K_{1,n+1}(A)$ is not an isomorphism in general, even if A is of finite stable range.

However, we can show that there is a canonical *pro isomorphism*

$$\{K_{1,n}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{K_{1,n}(R, A^m)\}_m := \{\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)/E_n(R, A^m)\}_m$$

for $n \geq 3$ and for all unital rings R which contain A as a two-sided ideal. Consequently, Vaserštein's stability implies that:

Theorem 2. *The canonical maps*

$$\{K_{1,n}(A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{K_{1,n+1}(A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{K_1(R, A^m)\}_m$$

are pro isomorphisms for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$ and for all unital rings R which contain A as a two-sided ideal.

In §1.2, we give the definition of the stable range of (not necessarily unital) rings. We exclude the case stable range equal one. The notion of stable range is used throughout this paper.

The purpose of §1.3 is to explain Vaserštein's stability for relative K_1. In §1.4, we prove Theorem 2 as a consequence of Vaserštein's stability in the pro setting. We use this theorem (or rather its variant, Theorem 1.15) to prove the homology pro stability for $E_n(A)$ in §4.

1.2. **Unimodular vectors and stable range.** Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring. Let R be an associative unital ring which contains A as a two-sided ideal. Recall that a unimodular vector of a unital ring R is a vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i R = R$.

Definition 1.1. A unimodular vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of R is *A-unimodular* if $x_1 - 1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ are in A.

Lemma 1.2. *Given $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) $(1 + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ *is an A-unimodular vector of $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A$.*
(ii) $(1 + a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ *is an A-unimodular vector of R.*

Proof. See [Va69, Lemma 2.0] or Lemma 1.7 below. □

According to the lemma, when we say that $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an A-unimodular vector, the unital ring R containing the vector does not matter.

Definition 1.3. The *stable range condition* $(S)_n$ is that, for every A-unimodular vector $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, there exist $t_1, \dots, t_n \in A$ such that $(x_i + t_i x_{n+1})_{1 \le i \le n}$ is A-unimodular. The *stable range* $\mathrm{sr}(A)$ *of* A is the minimum number $r > 1$ such that $(S)_n$ holds for all $n \ge r$.

Remark 1.4. In case A is unital, $\mathrm{sr}(A)$ is the minimum number $r > 1$ which defines a stable range for $\mathrm{GL}(A)$ in the sense of Bass [Ba64]. Note that we exclude the case $r = 1$, where some pathology happen for non-unital rings.

Lemma 1.5. *Let B be a two-sided ideal of A. Then*

$$\mathrm{sr}(B) \le \mathrm{sr}(A).$$

Proof. See [Va69, Theorem 2.3 (a)]. □

Finally, we explain the concept of *A-unimodular functions*, which is a generalization of A-unimodular vector, and is appeared in §4.3. Again, fix an associative unital ring R which contains A as a two sided ideal. Let $R^\infty := \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} R$ be the free right R-module with basis $e_1, e_2, \dots$.

Definition 1.6. Let I be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $f \colon I \to R^\infty$ be a map of sets.

(i) f is a *unimodular function* if $\{f(i)\}_{i \in I}$ forms a basis of a free direct summand of R^∞.
(ii) f is an *A-unimodular function* if it is a unimodular function with $f(i) \equiv e_i$ modulo A.

We denote by $\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}(R)$ the set of all A-unimodular functions $f \colon I \to R^n$, where R^n is identified with the submodule of R^∞ with basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$.

Lemma 1.7. *The set $\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n} := \mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}(R)$ is independent of the choice of R.*

Proof. Let $f\colon I \to R^n$ be a map of sets with $f(i) \equiv e_i$ modulo A. This datum does not depend on R. We prove that the condition f is a unimodular function also does not depend on R.

For simplicity, we assume that $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Set $f(i) = \sum_j e_j x_{i,j}$ and $X := (x_{i,j})_{1\le i\le k, 1\le j\le n} \in M_{k,n}(R)$. Then f is a unimodular function if and only if

$$XM_{n,k}(R) = M_{k,k}(R).$$

We claim that f is a unimodular function if and only if

$$XM_{n,k}(A) = M_{k,k}(A). \tag{1.2}$$

This condition does not depend on R.

It is clear that, if f is a unimodular function, then (1.2) holds. Suppose that (1.2) holds. Then there exists $\alpha \in M_{n,k}(A)$ such that

$$X\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1-x_{1,1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1-x_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set $\alpha'_{i,j} := \alpha_{i,j}$ if $i \neq j$ and $\alpha'_{i,i} := \alpha_{i,i} + 1 \in R$. Then $X\alpha' = 1$, and thus f is a unimodular function. □

1.3. **Vaserstein's stability for K_1.** Let R be an associative unital ring and A be a two-sided ideal of R. For $n \ge 1$, we define

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) = \ker(\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)),$$

which does not depend on R. We define $\mathrm{GL}(A)$ to be the limit of the inductive system $\{\mathrm{GL}_n(A)\}_n$ with respect to the canonical embeddings $\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)$, $\alpha \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Definition 1.8. Let $n \ge 1$.

(i) $E_n(A)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ generated by the elementary matrices $e_{ij}(a)$ with $a \in A$ and $1 \le i \neq j \le n$.
(ii) $E_n(R, A)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of $E_n(R)$ which contains $E_n(A)$.
(iii) $E(A) := \varinjlim_n E_n(A)$, $E(R, A) := \varinjlim_n E_n(R, A)$.

Lemma 1.9.

(i) $E_n(R, A) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ *for* $n \ge 1$.
(ii) $[E_n(R), E_n(R, A)] = E_n(R, A)$ *for* $n \ge 3$.
(iii) $[\mathrm{GL}_n(R), \mathrm{GL}_n(A)] \subset E_{2n}(R, A)$ *for* $n \ge 1$.
(iv) $[E(R), E(R, A)] = [\mathrm{GL}(R), \mathrm{GL}(A)] = E(R, A)$.

Proof. (i) By the definition, $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$. Hence, for every $x \in E_n(A) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ and $y \in E_n(R) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, we have $yxy^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$. This proves $E_n(R, A) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$.

(ii) It is clear that $[E_n(R), E_n(R, A)] \subset E_n(R, A)$. To see the other inclusion, note that $e_{ij}(a) = [e_{ik}(1), e_{kj}(a)]$ for $k \neq i, j$. Hence, for $n \ge 3$, every element of the form $xe_{ij}(a)x^{-1}$ with $a \in A$ and $x \in E_n(R)$ is in $[E_n(R), E_n(R, A)]$. This proves (ii).

(iii) is the relative version of Whitehead's lemma. See [Va69, Corollary 1.2].

(iv) follows from (i)-(iii). □

Definition 1.10. We define the *relative K_1-group of the pair* (R, A) by $K_1(R, A) := \mathrm{GL}(A)/E(R, A)$, which is an abelian group by Lemma 1.9 (iv).

This relative K_1-group coincides with the one defined in a more conceptual way.

Lemma 1.11. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$K_1(R, A) \simeq \pi_1(\mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+ \to B\,\mathrm{GL}(R/A)^+)),$$

where "+" means Quillen's plus construction with respect to the perfect radical.

Proof. See [We13, Theorem 5.7.1]. □

Theorem 1.12 (Vaserštein)**.** *For $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A)$, $E_{n+1}(R, A)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)$, and the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)/E_{n+1}(R, A)$$

is surjective. Furthermore, for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$, the canonical maps

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(A)/E_n(R, A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A)/E_{n+1}(R, A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} K_1(R, A).$$

are isomorphisms.

Proof. See [Va69, Theorem 3.2]. □

1.4. **Pro stability for K_1.** We give consequences of Theorem 1.12 in the pro setting. Let R be an associative unital ring and A be a two-sided ideal of R.

Lemma 1.13. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then:*

(i) $E_n(A^2) \subset [E_n(A), E_n(A)]$.
(ii) $E_n(R, A^3) \subset E_n(A)$.
(iii) The canonical maps

$$\{[E_n(A^m), E_n(A^m)]\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{E_n(A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{E_n(R, A^m)\}_m$$

are pro isomorphisms.

Proof. (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).

Note the standard equality of elementary matrices;

$$[e_{ij}(a), e_{kl}(b)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq k, i \neq l \\ e_{il}(ab) & \text{if } j = k, i \neq l \\ e_{kj}(-ba) & \text{if } j \neq k, i = l. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

It follows that we have an inclusion $E_n(A^2) \subset [E_n(A), E_n(A)]$ for $n \geq 3$; hence (i). We use the equality (1.3) throughout the rest of the proof.

Let N_j (resp. N^j) be the subgroup of $E_n(R)$ generated by $e_{kl}(r)$ with $r \in R$, $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ and $k \neq j$ (resp. $l \neq j$). Let L_j (resp. L^j) be the subgroup of $E_n(R)$ generated by $e_{jk}(r)$ (resp. $e_{kj}(r)$) with $r \in R$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Every element of L_j (resp. L^j) has the form

$$X_j(u) := \prod_{k \neq j} e_{jk}(u_k) \quad \left(\text{resp. } X^j(v) := \prod_{k \neq j} e_{kj}(v_k) \right)$$

for some $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in M_{1,n}(R)$ with $u_j = 1$ (resp. $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)^t \in M_{n,1}(R)$ with $v_j = 1$). It is clear that every $x \in E_n(A)$ is in a subgroup of the form

$$L^j N^j L_j N_j \cdots L^j N^j L_j N_j.$$

Let $N_j^j := N_j \cap N^j$, then

$$L^jN^jL_jN_j\cdots L^jN^jL_jN_j = L^jN_j^jL_jN_j^j\cdots L^jN_j^jL_jN_j.$$

Since $N_j^jL_j = L_jN_j^j$ and $N_j^jL^j = L^jN_j^j$, we have

$$L^jN^jL_jN_j\cdots L^jN^jL_jN_j = L^jL_j\cdots L^jL_jN_j.$$

Hence, every $x \in E_n(R)$ has the form

$$x = X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_1)X_j(u_1)\tilde{x} \tag{1.4}$$

for some $u_1,\dots,u_m \in M_{1,n}(R)$, $v_1,\dots,v_m \in M_{n,1}(R)$ and $\tilde{x} \in N_j$. Also, every $x \in E_n(R)$ is in a subgroup of the form

$$L^jN^jL_jN_j\cdots L^jN^jL_jN_jL^jN^j = L^jL_j\cdots L^jL_jL^jN^j.$$

Hence, every $x \in E_n(R)$ has the form

$$x = X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X_j(u_2)X^j(v_1)\tilde{x} \tag{1.5}$$

for some $u_2,\dots,u_m \in M_{1,n}(R)$, $v_1,\dots,v_m \in M_{n,1}(R)$ and $\tilde{x} \in N^j$.

Let $N_j(A^3)$ (resp. $N^j(A^3)$) be the subgroup generated by $e_{kl}(a)$ with $a \in A^3$, $1 \le k,l \le n$ and $k \ne j$ (resp. $l \ne j$). Consider the following assertions:

- $(1)_m$ For x as in (1.4), we have $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1} \subset E_n(A)$.
- $(2)_m$ For x as in (1.5), we have $xN^j(A^3)x^{-1} \subset E_n(A)$.

Note that $(1)_0$ and $(2)_0$ are clear. For $m > 0$, we prove $(1)_{m-1}, (2)_m \Rightarrow (1)_m$ and $(1)_{m-1}, (2)_{m-1} \Rightarrow (2)_m$. This proves $(1)_m$ and $(2)_m$ for all $m \ge 0$; thus the lemma (ii).

Let $m > 0$ and suppose that $(1)_{m-1}$ and $(2)_m$ hold. Let $x = X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_1)X_j(u_1)\tilde{x}$ with $u_1,\dots,u_m \in M_{1,n}(R)$, $v_1,\dots,v_m \in M_{n,1}(R)$ and $\tilde{x} \in N_j$. Note that $\tilde{x}N_j(A^3)\tilde{x}^{-1} \subset N_j(A^3)$ and that, for $a \in A^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_j(u_1)e_{ij}(a)X_j(-u_1) &= e_{ji}(u_{1,i})\Big(\prod_{k\ne i,j} e_{ik}(-au_{1,k})\cdot e_{ij}(a)\Big)e_{ji}(-u_{1,i}) \\ &= \prod_{k\ne i,j} e_{jk}(-u_{1,i}au_{1,k})e_{ik}(-au_{1,k})\cdot e_{ji}(u_{1,i})e_{ij}(a)e_{ji}(-u_{1,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{k\ne i,j} e_{jk}(-u_{1,i}au_{1,k})e_{ik}(-au_{1,k}) \in N^j(A^3)$, by $(2)_m$, it follows that $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1}$ is generated by elementary matrices of A and

$$y_iN_j(A^3)y_i^{-1},\ y_i := X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_1)e_{ji}(u_{1,i})$$

with $1 \le i \ne j \le n$.

We claim that:

- $(1)^p$ For $1 \le p \le m$, there exists $u'_1,\dots,u'_p \in M_{1,n}(R)$ and $v'_1,\dots,v'_{p-1} \in M_{n,1}(R)$ such that $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1}$ is generated by elementary matrices of A and

  $y_i^pN_j(A^3)(y_i^p)^{-1},\ y_i^p := X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_p)e_{ji}(u'_{p,i})\cdots e_{ij}(v'_{1,i})e_{ji}(u'_{1,i})$

  with $1 \le i \ne j \le n$
- $(2)^p$ For $1 \le p < m$, there exists $u'_1,\dots,u'_p \in M_{1,n}(R)$ and $v'_1,\dots,v'_p \in M_{n,1}(R)$ such that $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1}$ is generated by elementary matrices of A and

  $x_i^pN_j(A^3)(x_i^p)^{-1},\ x_i^p := X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X_j(u_{p+1})e_{ij}(v'_{p,i})\cdots e_{ij}(v'_{1,i})e_{ji}(u'_{1,i})$

  with $1 \le i \ne j \le n$.

$(2)^m$ There exists $u'_1, \dots, u'_m \in M_{1,n}(R)$ and $v'_1, \dots, v'_m \in M_{n,1}(R)$ such that $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1}$ is generated by elementary matrices of A and

$$x_i^m N_j(A^3)(x_i^m)^{-1},\ x_i^m := e_{ij}(v'_{m,i})e_{jk}(u'_{m,k})\cdots e_{kj}(v'_{1,i})e_{jk}(u'_{1,i})$$

with $1 \le i, k \ne j \le n$.

We have seen $(1)^1$. We prove the remaining case by induction; we prove $(1)^p \Rightarrow (2)^p$ and $(2)^p \Rightarrow (1)^{p+1}$.

Suppose that $(1)^p$ holds. Note that

$$X^j(v_p)e_{ji}(u'_{p,i}) = e_{ij}(v_{p,i})e_{ji}(u'_{p,i}) \prod_{k\ne i,j} e_{kj}(v_{p,k})e_{ki}(v_{p,k}u'_{p,i}),$$

and set $\tilde{y} := \prod_{k\ne i,j} e_{kj}(v_{p,k})e_{ki}(v_{p,k}u'_{p,i})$. Since $\tilde{y}e_{ij}(v) \in L_j\tilde{y}$, $v \in R$, and $\tilde{y}L^j = L^j\tilde{y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^p &= X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_p)e_{ji}(u'_{p,i})\cdots e_{ij}(v'_{1,i})e_{ji}(u'_{1,i}) \\ &= X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X_j(u_{p+1})e_{ij}(v_{p,i})X_j(u''_p)\cdots X^j(v''_1)X_j(u''_1)\tilde{y} \end{aligned}$$

for some $u''_1, \dots, u''_p \in M_{1,n}(R)$ and $v''_1, \dots, v''_{p-1} \in M_{n,1}(R)$. Applying $(1)^p$ for $x = y_p^i$, it follows that $xN_j(A^3)x^{-1}$ is generated by elementary matrices of A and

$$x_{i,k}^p N_j(A^3)(x_{i,k}^p)^{-1},\ x_{i,k}^p := X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X_j(u_{p+1})e_{ij}(v_{p,i})e_{jk}(u'''_{p,k})\cdots e_{kj}(v'''_{1,k})e_{jk}(u'''_{1,k})$$

with $1 \le i, k \ne j \le n$ for some $u'''_1, \dots, u'''_p \in M_{1,n}(R)$ and $v'''_1, \dots, v'''_p \in M_{n,1}(R)$. This proves $(2)^m$ if $p = m$. Suppose that $p < m$ and $i \ne k$. Then

$$e_{ij}(v_{p,i})e_{jk}(u'''_{p,i}) = e_{jk}(u'''_{p,k})e_{ij}(v_{p,i})e_{ik}(v_{p,i}u'''_{p,k}).$$

Hence, by replacing $u_{p+1,k}$ by $u_{p+1,k} + u'''_{p,k}$ and $v'''_{p-1,i}$ by $v'''_{p-1,i} + e_{ij}(v_{p,i})$, we have

$$x_{i,k}^p = X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X_j(u_{p+1})\tilde{x}e_{ij}(v'''_{p-1,i})\cdots e_{kj}(v'''_{1,k})e_{jk}(u'''_{1,k}),$$

where $\tilde{x} := e_{ik}(v_{p,i}u'''_{p,k})$, which satisfies $\tilde{x}L_j = L_j\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{x}L^j = L^j\tilde{x}$. By applying $(1)_{m-1}$ to $x_{i,k}^p$, we have $x_{i,k}^p N_j(A^3)(x_{i,k}^p)^{-1} \subset E_n(A)$. Consequently, we obtain $(2)^p$ with $x_p^i := x_p^{ii}$.

We can prove $(2)^p \Rightarrow (1)^{p+1}$ in the same way, and we obtain $(1)^p$ and $(2)^p$ for all $1 \le p \le m$.

According to $(2)^m$, to prove $(1)_m$, it suffices to show that

$$xe_{rs}(abc)x^{-1} \in E_n(A), \quad x := e_{ij}(v_m)e_{jk}(u_m)\cdots e_{kj}(v_1)e_{jk}(u_1) \tag{1.6}$$

for $a, b, c \in A$ and $1 \le r, s \le n$ with $r \ne j$. Observe that, for $a, b \in A$, $r \in R$ and $t \ne i, j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ij}(r)e_{ji}(ab)e_{ij}(-r) &= e_{ij}(r)[e_{jt}(a), e_{ti}(b)]e_{ij}(-r) \\ &= [e_{it}(ra)e_{jt}(a), e_{tj}(-br)e_{ti}(b)] \in E_n(A). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that, for $n \ge 3$,

$$e_{ij}(r)E_n(A^2)e_{ij}(-r) \subset E_n(A).$$

The assertion (1.6) easily follows from this observation. We only verify the case $r = k$ and $s = j$. As above, for $t \ne k, j$, we have

$$e_{jk}(u_1)e_{kj}(abc)e_{jk}(-u_1) = [e_{jt}(u_1ab)e_{kt}(ab), e_{tk}(-cu_1)e_{tj}(c)].$$

Set $y' := e_{jk}(u_m)\cdots e_{kj}(v_1)$ and $x' := e_{ij}(v_m)y'$, then

$$y'e_{tk}(\mp cu_1)(y')^{-1},\ y'e_{tj}(\pm c)(y')^{-1} \in E_n(A),$$

which are products of elementary matrices of the forms e_{tk} and e_{tj}, and thus

$$x'e_{tk}(\mp cu_1)(x')^{-1},\ x'e_{tj}(\pm c)(x')^{-1} \in E_n(A).$$

Also,

$$y'e_{jt}(\pm u_1ab)(y')^{-1},\ y'e_{kt}(\pm ab)(y')^{-1} \in E_n(A^2).$$

Hence, by the above observation,

$$x'e_{jt}(\pm u_1ab)(x')^{-1},\ x'e_{kt}(\pm ab)(x')^{-1} \in E_n(A).$$

This completes the proof of $(2)_m \Rightarrow (1)_m$.

Next, we prove $(1)_{m-1}, (2)_{m-1} \Rightarrow (2)_m$. The group $\mathbb{Z}/2 := \langle\sigma\rangle$ acts on $E_n(R)$ by sending $e_{ij}(r)$ to $e_{ji}(r)$. This action sends N_j to N^j and L_j to L^j, and vice versa. Let $x = X^j(v_m)X_j(u_m)\cdots X^j(v_1)\tilde{x}$ with $u_2, \ldots, u_m \in M_{1,n}(R)$, $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in M_{n,1}(R)$ and $\tilde{x} \in N^j$. Then

$$\sigma x = X^j(v'_m)X_j(u'_m)X^j(v'_{m-1})\cdots X_j(u'_1)\sigma\tilde{x}$$

with $u'_p := v_p^t$, $v'_p := u_{p-1}^t$ and $v'_m := e_j$, $e_{j,i} = \delta_{ji}$. Hence, along σ, the assertion $(2)_m$ corresponds to $(1)'_m$; $(1)_m$ with $v_m = e_j$. Similarly, $(1)_m$ corresponds to $(2)'_{m+1}$; $(2)'_{m+1}$ with $v_m = e_j$. The above proof also shows that $(1)'_{m-1}, (2)'_m \Rightarrow (1)'_m$ with a minor modification. Therefore, $(1)_{m-1}, (2)_{m-1} \Rightarrow (2)_m$. □

Theorem 1.14. *The canonical map*

$$\{H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m \to \{H_1(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A)$ and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$. Furthermore, for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$, we have a canonical pro isomorphism

$$\{H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{K_1(R, A^m)\}_m$$

Proof. We prove the second assertion, then the first assertion follows from Theorem 1.12.

Let $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$ and $m \geq 1$. Note that the stable range of A^m is smaller or equal to the one of A by by Lemma 1.5. According to Theorem 1.12, we have a short exact sequence

$$H_1(E_n(R, A^m)) \longrightarrow H_1(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)) \longrightarrow K_1(R, A^m) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 1.13, the pro system $\{H_1(E_n(R, A^m))\}_m$ equals zero. Hence, we obtain the desired pro isomorphism. □

Theorem 1.15. *Let $\bar{E}_n(A) := \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \cap E(A)$. Then we have an inclusion $\bar{E}_n(A^{3m}) \subset E_n(A^m)$ for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$ and $m \geq 1$. In particular, the canonical map*

$$\{E_n(A^m)\}_m \to \{\bar{E}_n(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$.

Proof. Let $\bar{E}_n(R, A) := \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \cap E(R, A)$ and $m \geq 1$. According to Theorem 1.12, we have $\bar{E}_n(R, A^{3m}) = E_n(R, A^{3m})$ for $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A) + 1$ (note that $\mathrm{sr}(A) \geq \mathrm{sr}(A^m)$ by Lemma 1.5). By Lemma 1.13, we obtain

$$\bar{E}_n(A^{3m}) \subset \bar{E}_n(R, A^{3m}) = E_n(R, A^{3m}) \subset E_n(A^m).$$

□

2. Pro unital rings

2.1. **Summary.** Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring. A left A-module P is *pseudo-free* if $P \simeq A \otimes L$ for some free abelian group L. We say that a morphism of A-modules $f\colon P \to M$ is *special* if there exists a group homomorphism $g\colon L \to M$ such that $f(a \otimes x) = ag(x)$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in L$.

Note that if A has a unit, then the multiplication by the unit induces a special morphism $P \to P$. In this sense, a special morphism can be regard as a replacement of the multiplication by a unit. It is easy to see that, for every left A-module M, there exists a special morphism $P \to M$ in any case.

An apparent defect is that the source of a special morphism $\epsilon\colon P \to M$ may be totally different from the target. However, if A is H-unital and M is also pseudo-free, then we can *resolve* the special morphism $\epsilon\colon P \to M$. That is, there exists a complex of A-modules

$$\cdots \to P[1] \to P[0] = P \xrightarrow{\epsilon} P[-1] = M$$

such that each $P[i]$ is pseudo-free and that $H_k(P[-]) = 0$ for $k \geq -1$. Hence, we may regard $P[-_{\geq 0}] \simeq M$ and $\epsilon\colon P[-_{\geq 0}] \to M$ is a sort of the multiplication by a unit.

The purpose of this section is to establish its pro varsion following Geisser-Hesselholt [GH06]. We make it precise that *If A is pro unital, then every pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module $\{P_m\}$ has a pro resolution $\{P_m[-]\}$ with a special morphism $\epsilon\colon \{P_m[-_{\geq 0}]\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{P_m\}$.* This is a key ingredient in the whole argument of this paper, and is appeared in the proof of Lemma 3.8.

2.2. **Definitions.**

Definition 2.1. An associative ring A is *pro unital* if

$$\{\mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})\}_m = 0$$

as a pro abelian group for every $i > 0$.

Morrow has shown the following crucial result.

Theorem 2.2 (Morrow)**.** *Let A be an ideal of a noetherian commutative ring. Then A is pro unital.*

Proof. See [Mo15, Theorem 2.3]. □

For a pro object $\{C_m\}$, we denote the structured maps by

$$\iota_{s,m}\colon C_s \to C_m, \quad s \geq m.$$

Let A be an associative ring. An *left $\{A^m\}$-module* is a pro abelian group $\{M_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that each M_m is a left A^m-module and $\iota_{s,m}(ax) = \iota_{s,m}(a)\iota_{s,m}(x)$ for all $a \in A^s$, $x \in M_s$ and $s > m$. A *morphism between left $\{A^m\}$-modules $\{M_m\}$ and $\{N_m\}$* is a level morphism of pro abelian groups $f\colon \{M_m\} \to \{N_m\}$ such that each $f_m\colon M_m \to N_m$ is a morphism of left A^m-modules.

Definition 2.3.

(i) An $\{A^m\}$-module $\{P_m\}$ is *pseudo-free* if there exists a pro system $\{L_m\}$ of free abelian groups and isomorphisms $\phi_m\colon A^m \otimes L_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} P_m$ of A^m-modules which make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^s \otimes L_s & \xrightarrow{\phi_s} & P_s \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{s,m}\otimes\iota_{s,m}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{s,m}} \\ A^m \otimes L_m & \xrightarrow{\phi_m} & P_m \end{array}$$

commutative.

(ii) A morphism $f\colon \{P_m\} \to \{M_m\}$ of $\{A^m\}$-modules is *special* if $\{P_m\}$ is pseudo-free with $\phi_m\colon A^m \otimes L_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} P_m$ and there exists a level morphism of pro abelian groups $g\colon \{L_m\} \to \{M_m\}$ such that the composite

$$A^m \otimes L_m \xrightarrow{\phi_m} P_m \xrightarrow{f_m} M_m$$

maps $a \otimes x$ to $ag_m(x)$.

2.3. **Pro resolution.**

Proposition 2.4 (Suslin, Geisser-Hesselholt [Su95, GH06])**.** *Let A be a pro unital ring. Suppose given an augmented complex*

$$\ldots \to \{C_m[1]\}_m \to \{C_m[0]\}_m \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \{C_m[-1]\}_m$$

of $\{A^m\}$-modules such that:

(i) Each $\{C_m[k]\}$ with $k \geq -1$ is pseudo-free.
(ii) The augmentation ϵ is special.
(iii) The homology $H_k(C_m[-])$ is annihilated by A^m for every $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq -1$.

Then

$$\{H_k(C_m[-])\}_m = 0$$

as a pro abelian group for every $k \geq -1$.

Remark 2.5. In fact, a closer result holds: Let A be a pro unital ring and $k \geq -1$. Then there exists $s(m) \geq m$ for each $m > 0$ such that the map

$$\iota_{s(m),m}\colon H_k(C_{s(m)}[-]) \to H_k(C_m[-])$$

is zero for all augmented complexes which satisfy the above conditions.

Proof. Let $\{C_m\}$ be a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module, i.e. there exists a free abelian groups L_m such that $A^m \otimes L_m \simeq C_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(C_m, \mathbb{Z}) &\simeq \operatorname{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(A^m \otimes L_m, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(A^m, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes L_m \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Tor}_{q+1}^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Since A is pro unital, we conclude that

$$\{\operatorname{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z}\ltimes A^m}(C_m, \mathbb{Z})\}_m = 0$$

for every $q \geq 0$.

Let $Z_m[k]$ and $B_m[k-1]$ are the kernel and the image of $C_m[k] \to C_m[k-1]$ respectively. Since ϵ is special, we have $B_m[-1] \subset A^m C_m[-1]$. On the other hand, by the assumption (iii), we have $A^m C_m[-1] \subset B_m[-1]$. Hence,

$$H_{-1}(C_m[-]) = C_m[-1]/A^m C_m[-1] = \mathrm{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(C_m[-1], \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\{C_m[-1]\}$ is pseudo-free, we conclude that $\{H_{-1}(C_m[-])\} = 0$.

Let $k \geq 0$ and suppose that $\{H_l(C_m[-])\} = 0$ for $l < k$. Consider the spectral sequence

$${}^m E^1_{pq} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(C_m[p], \mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq k \\ \mathrm{Tor}_q^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(Z_m[k], \mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } p = k+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then by the induction hypothesis, we have a pro isomorphism

$$\{{}^m E^\infty_n\}_m \simeq \{\mathrm{Tor}_n^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(C_m[-1], \mathbb{Z})\}_m = 0.$$

Since $\{C_m[p]\}$ is pseudo-free, we also have $\{{}^m E^1_{pq}\}_m = 0$ for $0 \leq p \leq k$. Hence,

$$\{Z_m[k]/I^m Z_m[k]\}_m = \{\mathrm{Tor}_0^{\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A^m}(Z_m[k], \mathbb{Z})\}_m = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the assumption (iii), we have $I^m Z_m[k] \subset B_m[k]$. Therefore, $\{H_k(C_m[-])\} = 0$.

The closer variant (Remark 2.5) is also clear from this proof. □

Lemma/Definition 2.6. *Let $\{P_m\}$ be a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module. Then there exists an augmented complex $\{P_m[-]\}$ of $\{A^m\}$-modules with $\{P_m[-1]\} = \{P_m\}$ which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.4. We say that $\{P_m[-]\}$ is a pro resolution of $\{P_m\}$ with a special morphism $\epsilon\colon \{P_m[-_{\geq 0}]\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{P_m\}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}[P_m]$ be the free abelian group generated by the set P_m. Then

$$P_m[0] := A^m \otimes \mathbb{Z}[P_m] \quad (m \geq 1)$$

form a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module in the obvious way, and there is a canonical special morphism $\epsilon\colon \{P_m[0]\} \to \{P_m\}$.

Let R_m be the kernel of ϵ_m, and $\mathbb{Z}[R_m]$ the free abelian group generated by the set R_m. Then

$$P_m[1] := A^m \otimes \mathbb{Z}[R_m] \quad (m \geq 1)$$

form a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module $\{P_m[1]\}$. Repeating this procedure, we obtain an augmented complex $\{P_m[-]\}$ with $\{P_m[-1]\} = \{P_m\}$ which satisfies the desired conditions. □

3. Pro acyclicity of triangular spaces

3.1. **Summary.** Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring. For a partial ordering σ on the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$, let $T_n^\sigma(A)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ generated by elementary matrices $e_{ij}(a)$ with $i <_\sigma j$ and $a \in A$. We set

$$X_n(A) := \bigcup_\sigma BT_n^\sigma(A),$$

where σ runs over all partial orderings on $\{1,\dots,n\}$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 3.13)**.** *Assume that A is pro unital commutative. Let $l \geq 0$. Then:*

(i) For $n \geq 2l+1$, we have

$$\{\tilde{H}_l(X_n(A^m)\}_m = 0$$

as a pro system.

(ii) For $n \geq 2l$ and $k \geq 1$, the canonical map

$$\{H_l(X_n(A))\}_m \to \{H_l({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism, where ${}^k\tilde{X}_n(A) := \bigcup_\sigma B(T_n^\sigma(A) \ltimes M_{n,k}(A))$ running σ through all partial orderings on $\{1,\dots,n\}$.

Below, we give a sketch of the proof of (i). The proof is done by induction on $l \geq 0$. Let $L > 0$ and suppose that the theorem holds for $l < L$. The first step is to show that the canonical map

$$\{H_L(X_n(A^m)\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_L(X_{n+1}(A^m)\}$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2L+1$.

We prove this by a covering argument. Let $T_{n+1}^{\sigma,i}$ be a subgroup of T_{n+1}^σ consisting of all α with $\alpha_{i,k} = \alpha_{k,i} = 0$ for $k \neq i$, and set $X_n^i(A) := \bigcup_\sigma BT_n^{\sigma,i}(A)$. Since $X^{i_0}(A) \cap \cdots \cap X^{i_n}(A) \simeq X_{n-p}(A)$ for distinct $i_0,\dots,i_p$, we have a spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q}(A) = H_q(X_{n-p}(A)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{n+1}^i(A)\Big).$$

It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$\{E^2_{0,L}(A^m)\} \simeq \{H_L(X_n(A^m))\} \simeq \Big\{H_L\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{n+1}^i(A^m)\Big)\Big\}$$

for $n \geq 2L+1$. The following lemma by Suslin concludes this step.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 3.5)**.** *The canonical map*

$$H_p\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} X_{n+1}^i(A)\Big) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_p(X_{n+1}(A))$$

is bijective for $n \geq 2p+1$.

Here is the key proposition in the whole argument.

Proposition 3 (Corollary 3.11)**.** *Assume that A is pro unital commutative. Let $l \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. Then there exists $N \geq n$ such that the canonical map*

$$\{\tilde{H}_l(X_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{\tilde{H}_l(X_N(A^m))\}_m$$

equals zero as a pro morphism.

Since we have seen $\{H_L(X_n(A^m)\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_L(X_{n+1}(A^m)\}$ for $n \geq 2L+1$, this proposition completes the proof of Theorem 1 (i).

For $p \geq 0$, let $[p]$ be the poset $0 < 1 < \cdots < p$. For a partial ordering σ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we endow $\sigma \times [p]$ with the lexicographical order. By Mayer-Vietoris argument, Proposition 3 is reduced to show that there exists $p \geq 0$ such that the canonical map

$$\{\tilde{H}_l(T^\sigma_n(A^m)\}_m \to \{\tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma\times[p]}_{n(p+1)}(A^m))\}_m$$

equals zero as a pro morphism.

Suslin has proved this assertion for unital rings (cf. [Su82]). However, his proof really demands the unit of A. We overcome this difficulty by using the pro resolution in §2.3.

Now, we have to generalize the above assertion. For a left A-module P and a finite poset σ, we define $T^\sigma(A, P)$ to be the group with generators

$$\begin{cases} e_{ij}(a) & i <_\sigma j \notin \max \sigma,\ a \in A \\ e_{ij}(p) & i <_\sigma j \notin \max \sigma,\ p \in P \end{cases}$$

with the same relation as elementary matrices. Set

$$\sigma \bigstar [p] := \sigma \times [p] \setminus \max \sigma \times \{1, \ldots, p\}.$$

We prove that:

Lemma 4. *Assume that A is pro unital commutative. Let $l \geq 0$. Then there exists $p \geq 0$ such that:*

(i) For all finite posets σ and all pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules $\{P_m\}$, the canonical map

$$\{\tilde{H}_l(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m))\}_m \to \{\tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p]}(A^m, P_m))\}_m$$

equals zero as a pro morphism.

(ii) For all finite posets σ and all special morphisms $\{P_m\} \to \{Q_m\}$ of pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules, the indeced map

$$\{\tilde{H}_l(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m))\}_m \to \{\tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p]}(A^m, Q_m))\}_m$$

equals zero as a pro morphism.

By using the pro resolution, (i) follows from (ii). Though the actual proof is more complicated (we have to prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously), this is the basic idea.

In §3.2, we collect preliminary results on group homology. In §3.3, we fix notation for triangular spaces, $T^\sigma_n(A)$, $T^\sigma(A, P)$ and so on.

In §3.4, we prove Lemma 2 with a slight generalization. In §3.5, we prove the key lemma, Lemma 4. Finally, in §3.6, we complete the proof of Theorem 1 with a slight generalization.

3.2. **Preliminaries on group homology.**

3.2.1. *A spectral sequence.* For a semi-simplicial set X, we denote by $C_*(X)$ the complex freely generated by X_* with the differential being the alternating sum of the faces. We write

$$H_n(X) := H_n(C_*(X)).$$

Let G be a group. We define EG to be the simplicial set whose degree n-part is $G^{\times n+1}$, and whose i-th face (resp. the i-th degeneracy) omits the i-th entry (resp. repeats the i-th entry). We define a right G-action on EG by $(g_0, \dots, g_n) \cdot g := (g_0 g, \dots, g_n g)$. The classifying space BG is defined to be EG/G.

Let $G_1, \dots, G_n$ be subgroups of G. We define a semi-simplicial set $Z(G, \{G_i\}_{1 \le i \le n})$ as follows: A p-simplex is a row $(\bar{g}_0, \dots, \bar{g}_p)$ with $\bar{g}_i \in G/G_{k_i}$, $1 \le k_0 < \cdots < k_p \le n$, for which there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \equiv \bar{g}_i$ modulo G_{k_i} for all i. The i-th face omits the i-th entry.

For $1 \le k_0 < \cdots < k_p \le n$, set $G_{k_0,\dots,k_p} := \bigcap_{0 \le i \le p} G_{k_i}$. We have a standard semi-simplicial set

$$G/G_* := \left[\bigsqcup_i G/G_i \substack{\leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow} \bigsqcup_{i<j} G/G_{i,j} \substack{\leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow\\ \leftarrow} \cdots \right].$$

The canonical map $G \to Z(G, \{G_i\}_{0 \le i \le n})_p$ sending g to $(\bar{g}_0, \dots, \bar{g}_p)$ with $\bar{g}_i := g \bmod G_{k_i}$ factors through $G_{k_0,\dots,k_p}$. These give a morphism of semi-simplicial sets

$$G/G_* \to Z(G, \{G_i\}_{0 \le i \le n}).$$

It is easy to see that this is an isomorphism.

Consider the double complex

$$C_*(EG) \otimes_G C_*(G/G_*).$$

Since $C_*(EG) \otimes_G \mathbb{Z}[G/G_i] \simeq C_*(BG_i)$, we see that the double complex is homotopy equivalent to $C_*(\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} BG_i)$. Hence, we obtain a spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(G, H_q(Z(G, \{G_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}))) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} BG_i \Big). \tag{3.1}$$

We use this spectral sequence in §3.4.

3.2.2. *C_* preserves pro weak equivalences.* Here, we give some preliminary results on pro objects. Though we only need the results for pro objects whose index categories are $\mathbb{N}$, the proof works for general pro objects, i.e. pro objects whose index categories are left filtered small categories. Such being the case, by a pro object or pro system we mean a pro object whose index category is a left filtered small category.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \colon X \to Y$ be a morphism between pro systems of pointed simplicial sets. Suppose that f induces pro isomorphisms*

$$\pi_n(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_n(Y)$$

for all $n \ge 0$. Then f induces pro isomorphisms

$$H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_n(Y)$$

for all $n \ge 0$.

Proof. Since $\pi_0(X) \simeq H_0(X)$, the assertion is clear for $n = 0$. Hence, by taking the connected components, we may assume that X and Y are connected.

Then, according to [Is01], for each $n \geq 1$, the induced map $P_n(X) \to P_n(Y)$ on the n-th Postnikov sections is a strict weak equivalence, i.e. isomorphic to a levelwise weak equivalence. Hence, the induced map $C_*(P_n(X)) \to C_*(P_n(Y))$ is isomorphic to a levelwise quasi-isomorphism.

On the other hand, by Hurewicz theorem and Serre spectral sequence, we have levelwise isomorphisms $H_k(X) \simeq H_k(P_n(X))$ for $k \leq n$. Now, in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_k(X) & \longrightarrow & H_k(Y) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ H_k(P_n(X)) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_k(P_n(Y)), \end{array}$$

the vertical maps and the bottom map are pro isomorphisms for $k \leq n$, and so is the top map. Since n is arbitrary, $H_k(X) \simeq H_k(Y)$ is a pro isomorphism for every $k \geq 0$. □

For a simplicial group G, we consider EG and BG constructed degreewise, which naturally have bi-simplicial structures. For a bi-simplicial set X, we also denote by $C_*(X)$ the double-complex freely generated by X_* with the differential being the alternating sum of the faces.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f\colon P \to Q$ be a morphism between pro systems of simplicial abelian groups. Suppose that f induces pro isomorphisms*

$$\pi_n(P) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_n(Q)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Then f induces pro isomorphisms

$$H_n(\operatorname{Tot} C_*(BP)) \simeq H_n(\operatorname{Tot} C_*(BQ))$$

for all $n \geq 0$

Proof. Now, the morphism $B_kP \to B_kQ$ induces pro isomorphisms $\pi_n(B_kP) \to \pi_n(B_kQ)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, the induced maps

$$H_n(C_*(B_kP)) \to H_n(C_*(B_kQ))$$

are pro isomorphisms for all $n \geq 0$. By the standard spectral sequence, we obtain the corollary. □

3.2.3. *Group homology of semi-direct products.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a group and H a group with a left G-action. Then there exists a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$C_*(B(G \ltimes H)) \simeq C_*(EG) \otimes_G C_*(BH).$$

Proof. See [Su95, §2]. □

Let $G = \{G_m\}$ be a pro group (= a pro system of groups). A *left G-module M* is a pro abelian group $\{M_m\}$ such that each M_m is a G_m-module and $\iota_{s,m}(gx) = \iota_{s,m}(g)\iota_{s,m}(x)$ for all $g \in G_s$, $x \in M_s$ and $s > m$. A *morphism between left G-modules M and N* is a level morphism of pro abelian groups $f\colon \{M_m\} \to \{N_m\}$ such that each $f_m\colon M_m \to N_m$ is a morphism of left G_m-modules. These form the

category of left G-modules, and we consider the simplicial objects in this category; *simplicial left G-modules and morphisms between them.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a pro group. Let P and Q be simplicial left G-modules and $f\colon P \to Q$ a morphism between them. Suppose that f induces pro isomorphisms*

$$\pi_n(P) \simeq \pi_n(Q)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Then f induces pro isomorphisms

$$H_n(\operatorname{Tot} C_*(B(G \ltimes P))) \simeq H_n(\operatorname{Tot} C_*(B(G \ltimes Q)))$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where the semi-direct products are taken levelwise and degreewise.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3. □

3.3. **Triangular spaces.** Here we fix notation for triangular spaces. Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring and P a left A-module.

3.3.1. Let σ be a finite poset and S a subset of $\max \sigma$. Then we define a group $T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P)$ by;

$$T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P) := \prod_{i<_\sigma j,\, j\notin S} A_{(i,j)} \times \prod_{i<_\sigma j,\, j\in S} P_{(i,j)},$$

where $A_{(i,j)}$ and $P_{(i,j)}$ are just the copies of A and P respectively. For $\alpha \in T^{[\sigma,S]}(A)$, we denote its (i,j)-th component by $\alpha_{i,j}$; thus $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$ if $j \notin S$, and $\alpha_{i,j} \in P$ if $j \in S$. For $\alpha, \beta \in T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P)$, we define the composition $\alpha \cdot \beta$ by

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j} + \beta_{i,j} + \sum_{i<_\sigma k<_\sigma j} \alpha_{i,k}\beta_{k,j}$$

for $i <_\sigma j$. We shorten;

$$T^\sigma(A,P) := T^{[\sigma,\max\sigma]}(A,P) \quad \text{and} \quad T^\sigma(A) := T^\sigma(A,A).$$

We have an identification

$$T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P) = T^{\sigma\setminus S}(A) \ltimes M_{\sigma\setminus S,S}(P).$$

3.3.2. Let $\theta\colon \sigma \to \tau$ be a morphism of finite posets. Then it induces a morphism of groups

$$T^\theta\colon T^\sigma(A) \to T^\tau(A).$$

If θ sends maximal elements to maximal elements, then it also induces a map $T^\sigma(A,P) \to T^\tau(A,P)$ for any A-module P, which we also denote by T^θ.

Let $f\colon P \to Q$ be a morphism of A-modules. Then it induces a morphism of groups

$$T^f\colon T^\sigma(A,P) \to T^\sigma(A,Q)$$

If $\theta\colon \sigma \to \tau$ sends maximal elements to maximal elements, we define

$$T^{f,\theta}\colon T^\sigma(A,P) \to T^\tau(A,Q)$$

to be the composite $T^f \circ T^\theta = T^\theta \circ T^f$.

3.3.3. Let Π_n be the set of all partial orderings on $\{1,\dots,n\}$. For $\sigma \in \Pi_n$, we may write $T_n^\sigma(A,P) = T^\sigma(A,P)$ to indicate that the underlying set of σ is fixed. Then we can naturally regard $T_n^\sigma(A)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$. We define

$$X_n(A) := \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} BT_n^\sigma(A)$$

where the union is taken in $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$.

For $\sigma \in \Pi_n$ and $k \geq 0$, we define ${}^k\tilde{\sigma} \in \Pi_{n+k}$ to be the partial ordering obtained from σ by adding the relations $i < n+j$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k\tilde{T}_n^\sigma(A,P) &:= \begin{cases} T_n^\sigma(A) & \text{if } k=0 \\ T_{n+k}^{{}^k\tilde{\sigma}}(A,P) & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases} \\ &= T_n^\sigma(A) \ltimes M_{n,k}(P). \end{aligned}$$

We define

$${}^k\tilde{X}_n(A,P) := \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} B^k\tilde{T}_n^\sigma(A,P).$$

where the union is taken in $B(\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \ltimes M_{n,k}(P))$.

3.4. **Coverings of triangular spaces.** Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring and P a left A-module. Let σ be a finite poset and S a subset of $\max\sigma$. For $j \in \sigma$, let $T^{[\sigma,S],j}(A,P)$ be the subgroup of $T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P)$ consisting of all α with $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{j,i} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

The following lemma is a straightforward generalization of [Su82, Corollary 6.6].

Lemma 3.5. *Let J be a subset of σ with $|J| = r$. Then the canonical map*

$$H_p\Big(\bigcup_{j\in J} BT^{[\sigma,S],j}(A,P)\Big) \to H_p\left(BT^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P)\right)$$

is surjective for $r \geq 2p+1$, and bijective for $r \geq 2p+2$.

Proof. For simplicity, we write $T := T^{[\sigma,S]}(A,P)$ and $T^j := T^{[\sigma,S],j}(A,P)$. In the following, we denote $<_\sigma$ simply by $<$. We say that a pair of indexes $j \neq k$ is *comparable* if $j < k$ or $k < j$. We say that a pair of indexes $j \neq k$ is *neighbor* if it is comparable (say $j < k$) and there exists no index l with $j < l < k$.

Consider the spectral sequence (3.1);

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p\big(T, H_q(Z(T,\{T^j\}_{j\in J}))\big) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{j\in J} BT^j\Big).$$

For a moment we admit:

Sublemma 3.6. *$Z(T,\{T^j\}_{j\in J})$ is $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic.*

Then the E^2-terms with $0 < q \leq (r-3)/2$ vanishes. Hence, the canonical map

$$H_p\Big(\bigcup_{j\in J} BT^j\Big) \to H_p(T) \tag{3.2}$$

is surjective for $r \geq 2p+1$, and bijective for $r \geq 2p+3$.

Suppose that $r = 2p+2$. If $p = 0$, the map (3.2) is clearly bijective. If $p > 0$, the map (3.2) fits into the exact sequence

$$H_{p+1}(T) \xrightarrow{d^p} H_p(Z(T,\{T^j\}_{j\in J}))_T \longrightarrow H_p\big(\textstyle\bigcup_{j\in J} BT^j\big) \longrightarrow H_p(T) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We shall show that d^p is surjective, which completes the proof of the lemma.

Sublemma 3.7. *Let $T^J := \bigcap_{i \notin J} T^i$ ($T^J = T$ if $J = \sigma$). Let $r = 2p+2$ and $p > 0$. Then the canonical map*

$$d^p \colon H_{p+1}(T^J) \to H_p(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))_T$$

is surjective.

We prove the sublemmas by indunction on $n = \#\sigma \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$ and the number N of comparable pairs in σ. For $r = 0$ or $N = 0$ or $n = 1$, the both of the sublemmas are trivial.

Case $r < n$. If $i \notin J$ is not comparable with any $j \in J$, then omitting the i-th column and row does not change the complex $Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J})$. Hence, by induction, we may assume that there exists $s \notin J$ which is a neighbor of some $t \in J$.

We assume $s < t$; the case $t < s$ is similar. For each $a \in A$ or $a \in P$ if $t \in S$, we define Z^a to be the subcomplex of $Z(T, \{T^j\})$ whose vertexes are $\bar{\alpha}^{(j)} \in T/T^j$ with $j \neq t$ and $\bar{\alpha}^{(t)} \in T/T^t$ with $\alpha_{s,t} = a$. Then

$$Z^0 = Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J})$$

where $T^0 := T^{[\sigma_0, S_0]}(A, P)$, σ_0 is the partial ordering obtained by omitting $s < t$ from σ and $S_0 := S \cap \max \sigma_0$. By induction, Z^0 is $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic. Since $e_{s,t}(a) Z^0 = Z^a$ for $a \in A$ or P, Z^a is also $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic for every a.

Note that, for distinct elements $a_0, \ldots, a_p$ in A or P with $p \geq 1$,

$$Z^{a_0, \ldots, a_p} := Z^{a_0} \cap \cdots \cap Z^{a_p} = Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{t\}}).$$

Hence, by induction, $Z^{a_0, \ldots, a_p}$ is $[(r-4)/2]$-acyclic. Consider the spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} := \bigsqcup_{a_0, \ldots, a_p} H_q(Z^{a_0, \ldots, a_p}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J})).$$

Now, we have shown that $E^1_{p,q} = 0$ for $p + q \leq (r-3)/2$ and $q > 0$. It is easy to see that $E^2_{p,0} = 0$ unless $p = 0$. Hence, $Z(T, \{T_j\})$ is $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic. This proves Sublemma 3.6 for $r < n$.

For $0 < p + q \leq (r-2)/2$, the E^2-terms of the above spectral sequence vanishes unless $E^2_{0,q}$ with $r = 2q + 2$. Hence, for $r = 2q + 2$, we have a surjection

$$\mathbb{Z}[T] \otimes_{T^0} H_q(Z^0) \simeq \bigsqcup_a H_q(Z^a) \twoheadrightarrow H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))$$

of T-modules, and thus the canonical map

$$H_q(Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J}))_{T^0} \to H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))_T$$

is surjective. By induction, $H_{q+1}((T^0)^J) \to H_q(Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J}))_{T^0}$ is surjective for $r = 2q+2$. Hence, $H_{q+1}(T^J) \to H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))_T$ is surjective for $r = 2q+2$. This proves Sublemma 3.7 for $r < n$.

Case $r = n$, i.e. $J = \sigma$. If there exists an index i which is not comparable with any $j \neq i$, then $T^i = T$ and one can see easily that $G/G_* \simeq Z(T, \{T^j\})$ is contractible. Hence, we may assume that every index is comparable with some other index, in particular there exits a neighbor pair $s < t$. Again, for each $a \in A$ or $a \in P$ in case $t \in S$, let Z^a be the subcomplex of $Z(T, \{T^j\})$ whose vertexes are $\bar{\alpha}^{(j)} \in T/T^j$ with $j \neq t$ and $\bar{\alpha}^{(t)} \in T/T^t$ with $\alpha_{s,t} = a$. Then $Z^0 = Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J})$, where $T^0 := T^{[\sigma_0, S_0]}(A, P)$ with σ_0 and S_0 as before. By induction, Z^0 is $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic, and it follows that Z^a is also $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic for every $a \in A$ or $a \in P$.

For distinct elements $a_0, \dots, a_p$ in A or P with $p \geq 1$,

$$Z^{a_0,\dots,a_p} = Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}).$$

Hence, by induction, $Z^{a_0,\dots,a_p}$ is $[(r-5)/2]$-acyclic. From the spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} := \bigsqcup_{a_0,\dots,a_p} H_q(Z^{a_0,\dots,a_p}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J})),$$

it follows that $Z(T, \{T_j\})$ is $[(r-3)/2]$-acyclic. This completes the proof of Sublemma 3.6.

For $0 < p + q \leq (r-2)/2$, the E^2-terms of the above spectral sequence vanishes unless $E^2_{0,q}$ and $E^2_{1,q-1}$ with $r = 2q+2$. Hence, for $r = 2q+2$, we have an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[T] \otimes_{T^0} H_q(Z^0) \longrightarrow H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J})) \longrightarrow E^2_{1,q-1} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}$$

of T-modules. It is easy to see that

$$E^2_{1,q-1} \simeq I(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}})),$$

where $I(A) := \ker(\mathbb{Z}[A] \to \mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha \in T$ act on the right hand side as

$$\alpha \cdot (x \otimes y) = \alpha_{st} \cdot x \otimes \alpha \cdot y.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_T E^2_{1,q-1} &\simeq (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_A I(A)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z} \otimes_T \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))) \\ &\simeq A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by tensoring $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_T$ on the sequence (3.3), we obtain an exact sequence

$$H_q(Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J}))_{T^0} \longrightarrow H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))_T \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_T \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $H_{q+1}((T^0)^J) \to H_q(Z^0)_{T_0}$ is surjective for $r = 2q+2$ by induction, for $r = 2q+2$ the surjectivity of $H_{q+1}(T^J) \to H_q(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J}))_T$ is equivalent to the one of

$$H_{q+1}(T^J) \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_T.$$

Suppose that $r = 2q+2$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be the partial ordering obtained by omitting from σ all relations between $i \in \{s,t\}$ and $j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}$, and set $\tilde{T} := T^{\tilde{\sigma}}(A, P)$. We claim that the canonical map

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(\tilde{T}, \{\tilde{T}^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_{\tilde{T}} \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_T$$

is surjective. Indeed, the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} &Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}) \to Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}), \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{and} \quad Z(\tilde{T}^0, \{\tilde{T}^{0,j}\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}) \to Z(\tilde{T}, \{\tilde{T}^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}) \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphism, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T^0, \{T^{0,j}\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_{T_0} \simeq \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(T, \{T^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_T, \\ &\qquad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(\tilde{T}^0, \{\tilde{T}^{0,j}\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_{\tilde{T}^0} \simeq \tilde{H}_{q-1}(Z(\tilde{T}, \{\tilde{T}^j\}_{j \in J \setminus \{s,t\}}))_{\tilde{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(T^0)^{J \setminus \{r,s\}} = (\tilde{T}^0)^{J \setminus \{r,s\}}$, the induction hypothesis implies the claim.

Hence, we may replace σ by $\tilde{\sigma}$. If $\sigma \neq \tilde{\sigma}$, then by induction we are done. Suppose that $\sigma = \tilde{\sigma}$. Taking another neighbor pair $i < j$ and repeating the above procedure,

it remains the case that every index is comparable with exactly one other index. Then

$$T \simeq A^{\oplus \max \sigma \setminus S} \oplus P^{\oplus S}$$

It follows from the Künneth formula that

$$H_*(T^{i_0,\dots,i_p}) = \bigcap_{0\le k\le p} H_*(T^{i_k}),$$

where the intersection is taken in $H_*(T)$. Therefore, the spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{i_0<\dots<i_p} H_q(T^{i_0,\dots,i_p}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\big(\bigcup BT^j\big)$$

degenerates at E^2, and we obtain an injection

$$H_q\big(\bigcup BT^j\big) \simeq E^2_{0,q} \hookrightarrow H_q(T).$$

for every $q \ge 0$. This completes the proof. □

3.5. **The key lemma.** For a finite poset σ and $p \ge 0$, let $[p]$ be the poset $0 < 1 < 2 < \cdots < p$ and endow $\sigma \times [p]$ with the lexicographical order. We define

$$\sigma\bigstar[p] := \sigma \times [p] \setminus \max \sigma \times \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

We denote by ϕ (resp. φ) the embedding $\sigma \to \sigma \times [p]$ (resp. $\sigma \to \sigma\bigstar[p]$), $a \mapsto (a, 0)$. Note that φ sends maximal elements to maximal elements. We set $\sigma_0 := \sigma \setminus \max \sigma$. Note that $(\sigma\bigstar[p])_0 = \sigma_0 \times [p]$. We have a canonical inclusion and a projection;

$$T^{\sigma_0}(A) \hookrightarrow T^{\sigma}(A, P) \twoheadrightarrow T^{\sigma_0}(A).$$

For the notation on triangular spaces, see §3.3. For a pro object $\{C_m\}$, we denote the structured maps by $\iota_{s,m} \colon C_s \to C_m$, $s \ge m$.

The following lemma is a variant of Lemma 7.4 in [Su82].

Lemma 3.8. *Let A be a commutative pro unital ring and let $l \ge 0$. Then there exists $p_l \ge 0$ and $s_l(m) \ge m$ for each $m > 0$ such that:*

(i) For all finite posets σ and all pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules $\{P_m\}$, the map

$$\iota_{s_l(m),m} H_l(T^{\varphi}) \colon \tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma}(A^{s_l(m)}, P_{s_l(m)}) \to \tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p_l]}(A^m, P_m))$$

is equal to zero.

(ii) For all finite posets σ and all special morphisms $f \colon \{P_m\} \to \{Q_m\}$ between pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules, the map

$$\iota_{s_l(m),m} H_l(T^{f,\varphi}) \colon \tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma}(A^{s_l(m)}, P_{s_l(m)}) \to \tilde{H}_l(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p_l]}(A^m, Q_m))$$

is equal to zero

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $l \ge 0$. The case $l = 0$ is clear, here we can take $p_0 = 0$ and $s_0(m) = m$. Let $L > 0$ and suppose that we have constructed $p_0 \le p_1 \le \cdots \le p_{L-1}$ and $s_0(m) \le s_1(m) \le \cdots \le s_{L-1}(m)$ which satisfy the conditions of the lemma.

Set $q := p_{L-1} + 1$ and $t(m) := s_{L-1}(m)$. We shall prove that:

Sublemma 3.9. *For all finite posets σ and all special morphisms $f\colon \{P_m\} \to \{Q_m\}$ between pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_L(T^\sigma(A^{t(m)}, P_{t(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t(m),m} H_l(T^{f,\varphi})} & H_L(T^{\sigma\bigstar[q]}(A^m, Q_m)) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ H_L(T^{\sigma_0}(A^{t(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t(m),m} H_l(T^{\phi})} & H_L(T^{\sigma_0 \times [q]}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the vertical maps are the canonical projection and the inclusion.

Proof. Let $f\colon \{P_m\} \to \{Q_m\}$ be a special morphism between pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules. So, $\{P_m\}$ has a form $\{A^m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_m\}$ for some pro system $\{L_m\}$ of free abelian groups. Note that we have an equality

$$\{\varinjlim_i L_m^{(i)}\} = \{L_m\}$$

where $\{L_m^{(i)}\}$ is a sub-system of $\{L_m\}$ such that each $L_m^{(i)}$ is finitely generated and the limit runs around all such systems. Hence, we have

$$\varinjlim_i C_*(BM_{n,k}(A^m \otimes L_m^{(i)})) \simeq C_*(BM_{n,k}(P_m))$$

for every $m > 0$ and $n, k \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we have an isomorphism

$$C_*(BT^\sigma(A^m, P_m)) \simeq C_*(ET^{\sigma_0}(A^m)) \otimes_{T^\sigma(A^m)} C_*(BM_{n,k}(P_m)),$$

where $\sigma \in \Pi_n$ and $k = \#\max\sigma$. It follows that

$$C_*(BT^\sigma(A^m, P_m)) \simeq \varinjlim_i C_*(BT^\sigma(A^m, A^m \otimes L_m^{(i)}))$$

and

$$H_*(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m)) \simeq \varinjlim_i H_*(T^\sigma(A^m, A^m \otimes L_m^{(i)})).$$

Consequently, to show the sublemma, we may assume that $\{P_m\}$ has the form $\{A^m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L_m\}$ with L_m a free abelian group of finite rank. We may also assume that $\{Q_m\} = \{A^m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_m\}$ with M_m a free abelian group of finite rank.

Fix $m > 0$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_I$ be a basis of $L_{t(m)}$ and $f_1, \ldots, f_J$ a basis of M_m. Since f is special, the map $\iota_{t(m),m} f\colon P_{t(m)} \to Q_m$ is induced by a map $\alpha\colon L_{t(m)} \to Q_m$, which sends e_i to $\sum_j \alpha_{i,j} f_j$ with $\alpha_{i,j} \in A^m$. We may also denote $\iota_{t(m),m} f$ by α.

If $\alpha = 0$, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_L(T^\sigma(A^{t(m)}, P_{t(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t(m),m} H_l(T^{f,\varphi})} & H_L(T^{\sigma\bigstar[q]}(A^m, Q_m)) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ H_L(T^{\sigma_0}(A^{t(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{t(m),m} H_l(T^{\phi})} & H_L(T^{\sigma_0 \times [q]}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

commutes, and thus the sublemma holds in this case. Let $(u, v) \in [1, I] \times [1, J]$ and suppose that the sublemma holds if $\alpha_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) \geq (u, v)$ with respect to the lexicographical order. We prove the sublemma in case $\alpha_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) > (u, v)$. We define a morphism $\beta\colon P_{t(m)} \to Q_m$ by sending e_i to $\delta_{i,u} f_v$.

We define an embedding $\psi\colon \sigma \to \sigma\bigstar[q]$ by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} (x,0) & \text{if } x \in \max\sigma \\ (x,r) & \text{if } x \notin \max\sigma \end{cases}.$$

Then the image τ of ψ intersects with $\sigma\bigstar[q-1] \subset \sigma\bigstar[q]$ only at $\max\sigma \times \{0\}$, and thus the composite

$$T^\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} T^\sigma \times T^\sigma \xrightarrow{T^\varphi \times T^\psi} T^{\sigma\bigstar[q-1]} \times T^\tau \xrightarrow{\text{prod}} T^{\sigma\bigstar[q]}$$

is a group homomorphism. Now we have a group morphism;

$$T^\sigma(A^{t(m)}, P_{t(m)}) \xrightarrow[T^{\beta,\psi}]{T^{\alpha,\varphi}} \begin{matrix} T^{\sigma\bigstar[q-1]}(A^m, Q_m) \\ \times \\ T^\tau(A^m, Q_m) \end{matrix} \longrightarrow T^{\sigma\bigstar[q]}(A^m, Q_m)$$

which we denote by $T^{\alpha,\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi}$. Since $q-1 = p_{L-1}$ and $t(m) = s_{L-1}(m)$, by the induction hypothesis and by the Künneth formula, we obtain

$$H_L(T^{\alpha,\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi}) = H_L(T^{\alpha,\varphi}) + H_L(T^{\beta,\psi}). \tag{3.4}$$

Set

$$\omega := \prod_{x \in \sigma_0} e_{\varphi(x),\psi(x)}(\alpha_{u,v}) \in T^{\sigma\bigstar[q]}(A^m).$$

We define $(\alpha'_{i,j}) \in M_{I,J}(A^m)$ by $\alpha'_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}$ unless $(i,j) = (u,v)$ and $\alpha'_{u,v} = 0$, which induces a map $\alpha'\colon Q_{s(m)} \to P_m$ by sending e_i to $\sum_j \alpha'_{i,j} f_j$.

Claim 3.10. We have an equality [1]

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\omega) \circ (T^{\alpha',\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi}) = T^{\alpha,\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi}. \tag{3.5}$$

We calculate the (k,l)-entry of (3.5) at $U \in T^\sigma(A^{t(m)}, P_{t(m)})$. It suffices to do this for;

(1) $(k,l) = (\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ with $x \in \sigma_0$ and $y \in \sigma$.
(2) $(k,l) = (\varphi(x), \psi(y))$ with $x \in \sigma_0$ and $y \in \sigma_0$.
(3) $(k,l) = (\psi(x), \psi(y))$ with $x \in \sigma_0$ and $y \in \sigma_0$.
(4) $(k,l) = (\psi(x), \varphi(y))$ with $x \in \sigma_0$ and $y \in \sigma$.

Case (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathrm{Ad}(\omega) \circ (T^{\alpha',\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)} \\
&= \big((T^{\alpha',\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)} + \alpha_{u,v} \cdot \big((T^{\alpha',\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\varphi(y)} \\
&= T^{\alpha',\varphi}(U)_{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)} + \alpha_{u,v} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi}(U)_{\psi(x),\varphi(y)} \\
&= \begin{cases} U_{x,y} & \text{if } y \in \sigma_0 \\ \alpha'(U_{x,y}) + \beta(U_{x,y})\alpha_{u,v} = \alpha(U_{x,y}) & \text{if } y \in \max\sigma \end{cases} \\
&= \big((T^{\alpha,\varphi} \cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

[1] Here is the only place we need the commutativity.

Case (2):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big((\mathrm{Ad}(\omega)\circ(T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\psi(y)}\\
&=\alpha_{u,v}\cdot\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)}-\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\psi(y)}\cdot\alpha_{u,v}\\
&=\alpha_{u,v}U_{x,y}-U_{x,y}\alpha_{u,v}\\
&=0\\
&=\big((T^{\alpha,\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\varphi(x),\psi(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (3):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathrm{Ad}(\omega)\circ(T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\psi(y)}\\
&=\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\psi(y)}-\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\phi(y)}\cdot\alpha_{u,v}\\
&=\big((T^{\alpha,\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\psi(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
\big((\mathrm{Ad}(\omega)\circ(T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\varphi(y)}&=\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi}(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\varphi(y)}\\
&=\big((T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi}(U)\big)_{\psi(x),\varphi(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain the equality (3.5) in the claim.

Again, by the induction hypothesis and by the Künneth formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H_L(T^{\alpha,\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})&=H_L(T^{\alpha',\varphi}\cdot T^{\beta,\psi})\\
&=H_L(T^{\alpha',\varphi})+H_L(T^{\beta,\psi}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

It follows from (3.4, 3.6) that

$$H_L(T^{\alpha,\varphi})=H_L(T^{\alpha',\varphi}).$$

Therefore, by induction, we get the sublemma. □

We prove $(i)_{l=L}$. Let $\{P_m\}$ be a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module. Let $\{P_m[-]\}$ be a pro resolution of $\{P_m\}$ with a special morphism $\epsilon\colon\{P_m[-_{\geq 0}]\}\xrightarrow{\simeq}\{P_m\}$ (Lemma/Definition 2.6). Then, by Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 3.4, ϵ induces a pro isomorphism

$$\Theta\colon\{H_L(T^{\sigma}(A^m,P_m[-_{\geq 0}]))\}_m\xrightarrow{\simeq}\{H_L(T^{\sigma}(A^m,P_m))\}_m.$$

Moreover, for each $m>0$ there exists $r(m)\geq m$, which does not depend on $\{P_m\}$, $\{P_m[-]\}$ and σ, such that the maps

$$\iota_{r(m),m}\colon\ker\Theta_{r(m)}\to\ker\Theta_m$$

are equal to zero (Remark 2.5).

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
p:=p_L&:=\Big(\prod_{l=1}^{L-1}(p_l+1)\Big)(q+1)-1,\\
s(m):=s_L(m)&:=r(s_1(\cdots(s_{L-1}(t(m)))\cdots)).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $(i)_{l=L}$ holds with these definitions. We prove the claim by induction on $n:=\#\sigma\geq 1$. The case $n=1$ is clear, and so let $n>1$.

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$C_*(BT^\sigma(A^m, P_m[-_{\geq 0}]) = C_*(ET^{\sigma_0}(A^m)) \otimes_{T^{\sigma_0}(A^m)} C_*(BM_{\sigma_0,\max\sigma}(P_m[-_{\geq 0}]))$$

and thus we have a first quadrant spectral sequence

$$(E^1_{s,t})^\sigma_m = H_t(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[s])) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[-_{\geq 0}])).$$

It is clear that $(E^2_{s,0})^\sigma_m = 0$ for $s > 0$. Hence, the spectral sequence induces a filtration

$$0 = F^\sigma_{-1,m} \subset F^\sigma_{0,m} \subset \cdots \subset F_{L-1,m} = H_L(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[-_{\geq 0}]))$$

with $F^\sigma_{i,m}/F^\sigma_{i-1,m}$ a subquotient of $H_{L-i}(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[i]))$.

Note that the map $\varphi\colon \sigma \to \sigma\bigstar[p]$ induces a morphism of spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E^1_{s,t})^\sigma_m = H_t(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[s])) & \Longrightarrow & H_{s+t}(T^\sigma(A^m, P_m[-_{\geq 0}])) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (E^1_{s,t})^{\sigma\bigstar[p]}_m = H_t(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p]}(A^m, P_m[s])) & \Rightarrow & H_{s+t}(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p]}(A^m, P_m[-_{\geq 0}])). \end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the induced map

$$F^\sigma_{i,s_{L-i}(m)}/F^\sigma_{i-1,s_{L-i}(m)} \to F^{\sigma\bigstar[p_{L-i}]}_{i,m}/F^{\sigma\bigstar[p_{L-i}]}_{i-1,m}$$

is zero for $1 \leq i \leq L-1$. Also, observe that $(\sigma\bigstar[a])\bigstar[b] = \sigma\bigstar[(a+1)(b+1)-1]$. It follows that, by putting $s'(m) := s_1(\cdots(s_{L-1}(t(m)))\cdots)$ and $p' := \prod_{l=1}^{L-1}(p_l+1)-1$, the canonical map

$$\iota_{s'(m),t(m)} H_l(T^\varphi)\colon H_L(T^\sigma(A^{s'(m)}, P_{s'(m)}[-_{\geq 0}])) \to H_L(T^{\sigma\bigstar[p']}(A^{t(m)}, P_{t(m)}[-_{\geq 0}]))$$

factors through $F^{\sigma\bigstar[p']}_{0,t(m)}$.

Now, we have lifts in the commutative diagram



Consider the following diagram



where we omit the structured maps $\iota_{*,*}$. The right rectangle commutes by Sublemma 3.9, though the lower right square may not commute. It follows from a simple diagram chase that the bottom rectangle commutes. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis for $n = \#\sigma$, the middle composite $\iota_{s(m),m} H_L(T^{\varphi})$ equals zero.

Finally, $(ii)_{l=L}$ follows from $(i)_{l=L}$ and the sublemma immediately. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let A be a commutative pro unital ring and let $l \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. There exists $N \geq n$ such that the canonical map*

$$\{\tilde{H}_l({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\}_m \to \{\tilde{H}_L({}^k\tilde{X}_N(A^m, P_m))\}_m$$

is equal to zero for all $k \geq 0$ and all pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules $\{P_m\}$ (see §3.3 for the notation).

Proof. The corollary follows from:

Sublemma 3.12. *Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t \in \Pi_n$. Then there exists $p \geq 0$ such that the canonical map*

$$\Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B^k\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i}(A^m, P_m)\Big)\Big\} \to \Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B^k\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i\times[p]}(A^m, P_m)\Big)\Big\}$$

is equal to zero for all $k \geq 0$ and all pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-modules $\{P_m\}$.

Note that

$${}^k\tilde{T}^{\sigma\times[p]}(A, P) = \begin{cases} T^{\sigma\times[p]}(A) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ T^{{}^k\tilde{\sigma}\bigstar[p]}(A, P) & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Hence, the case $t = 1$ is true by Lemma 3.8. Let $t > 1$ and suppose that the sublemma holds for $s < t$.

In the sequel, we abbreviate ${}^k\tilde{T}^{\sigma}(A^m, P_m)$ as $\tilde{T}^{\sigma}$. Set $\sigma_{i,t} := \sigma_i \cap \sigma_t$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{\tilde{H}_l(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i}) \oplus \tilde{H}_l(B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_t})\} & \longrightarrow & \{\tilde{H}_l(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i})\} & \longrightarrow & \{\tilde{H}_{l-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_{i,t}})\} \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\tilde{H}_l(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i\times[q]}) \oplus \tilde{H}_l(B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_t\times[q]})\} & \longrightarrow & \{\tilde{H}_l(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i\times[q]})\} & \longrightarrow & \{\tilde{H}_{l-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_{i,t}\times[q]})\} \end{array}$$

with exact rows. By the induction hypothesis, the right vertical map is zero for some $q \geq 0$. Thus, there exists a lift as indicated above. Again, by the induction hypothesis, there exists $q' \geq 0$ such that the map

$$\Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i}\Big) \oplus \tilde{H}_l(B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_t})\Big\} \to \Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i\times[q']}\Big) \oplus \tilde{H}_l(B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_t\times[q']})\Big\}$$

is zero. It follows from $(\sigma_i \times [q]) \times [q'] = \sigma_i \times [q'']$, $q'' := (q+1)(q'+1) - 1$ that

$$\Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i}\Big)\Big\} \to \Big\{\tilde{H}_l\Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} B\tilde{T}^{\sigma_i\times[q'']}\Big)\Big\}$$

is zero. This proves the sublemma, and thus the corollary. □

3.6. **The pro acyclicity theorem.**

Theorem 3.13. *Let A be a commutative pro unital ring and $\{P_m\}$ a pseudo-free $\{A^m\}$-module. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then:*

(i) For $n \geq 2l+1$ and for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\{\tilde{H}_l({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\} = 0.$$

(ii) For $n \geq 2l$ and for any $k \geq 0$, the canonical map

$$\{H_l(X_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on l. The case $l = 0$ is trivial. Let $L > 0$ and suppose that the theorem holds for $l < L$.

Sublemma 3.14. *Let $k \geq 0$. The canonical map*

$$\{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\}_m \to \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_{n+1}(A^m, P_m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2l$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l+1$.

Proof. For $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, set

$${}^k\tilde{X}^i_n(A^m, P_m) := \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Pi_n} BT^{\sigma,i}_n(A^m) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Pi_n} BT^{{}^k\tilde{\sigma},i}_{n+k}(A^m, P_m) & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Consider the spectral sequence

(3.7)

$${}^k\tilde{E}^1_{p,q}(A^m, P_m) = \bigsqcup_{i_0,\dots,i_p} H_q\big({}^k\tilde{X}^{i_0,\dots,i_p}_{n+1}(A^m, P_m)\big) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} {}^k\tilde{X}^i_{n+1}(A^m, P_m)\Big).$$

Note that ${}^k\tilde{X}^{i_0,\dots,i_p}_{n+1}(A^m, P_m) \simeq {}^k\tilde{X}_{n-p}(A^m, P_m)$. By the induction hypothesis, $\{{}^k\tilde{E}^1_{p,q}(A^m, P_m)\} = 0$ for $n - p \geq 2q+1$ and $0 < q < L$. Also, ${}^k\tilde{E}^2_{p,0}(A^m, P_m) = 0$ unless $p = 0$. It follows that, for $n \geq 2L$, the E^2-terms $\{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m, P_m)\}$ with $p + q = L$ are zero unless $\{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{0,L}(A^m, P_m)\}$, and

$$\{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{0,L}(A^m, P_m)\}_m \simeq \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\}_m.$$

Hence, the canonical map

$$\{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\}_m \to \Big\{H_L\Big(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} {}^k\tilde{X}^i_{n+1}(A^m, P_m)\Big)\Big\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2L$ and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2L+1$. By Lemma 3.5, the canonical map

$$H_L\Big(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} {}^k\tilde{X}^i_{n+1}(A^m, P_m)\Big) \to H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_{n+1}(A^m, P_m))$$

is surjective for $n \geq 2L$ and bijective for $n \geq 2L+1$. Bringing these together, we obtain the sublemma. □

We show $(i)_{l=L}$. Suppose that $n \geq 2L+1$. By the sublemma,

$$\{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\} \simeq \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_N(A^m, P_m))\}.$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 3.11, the canonical map

$$\{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m)\} \to \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_N(A^m, P_m))\}$$

is zero for some $N > n$. Therefore, $\{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_n(A^m, P_m))\} = 0$.

For $(ii)_{l=L}$, it remains to show that

$$\{H_L(X_{2L}(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_{2L}(A^m, P_m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism. By the spectral sequences (3.7), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \{E^2_{2,L-1}(A^m)\} & \longrightarrow & \{H_L(X_{2L}(A^m))\} & \longrightarrow & \{H_L(X_{2L+1}(A^m))\} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \\
0 & \to & \{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{2,L-1}(A^m, P_m)\} & \to & \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_{2L}(A^m, P_m))\} & \to & \{H_L({}^k\tilde{X}_{2L+1}(A^m, P_m))\} & \to & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. Hence, it is enough to show that $\{E^2_{2,L-1}(A^m)\} \to \{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{2,L-1}(A^m)\}$ is a pro isomorphism; equivalently it is a pro epimorphism. This follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\{E^1_{2,L-1}(A^m)\} = \{\bigoplus H_{L-1}(X_{2L-2}(A^m))\} & \longrightarrow & \{E^2_{2,L-1}(A^m)\} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \\
\{{}^k\tilde{E}^1_{2,L-1}(A^m, P_m)\} = \{\bigoplus H_{L-1}({}^k\tilde{X}_{2L-2}(A^m, P_m))\} & \to & \{{}^k\tilde{E}^2_{2,L-1}(A^m, P_m)\} & \to & 0.
\end{array}$$

□

4. Homology pro stability

4.1. **Summary.** Let A be a pro unital commutative ring (cf. Definition 2.1). In this section, we prove the *homology pro stability* for $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ and $E_n(A)$:

Theorem 1. *The canonical maps*

$$\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m)\}$$
$$\{H_l(E_n(A^m))\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_l(E_{n+1}(A^m)\}$$

are pro isomorphisms for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$.

We follow Suslin [Su96], generalizing his argument to the pro setting. There are two key ingredients in the proof: *Van der Kallen's acyclicity* and *pro acyclicity* (Theorem 3.13).

We consider the *Volodin space* $V_n(A)$, which fits into the spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q}(A) = H_p(E_n(A), H_q(V_n(A))) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} BT^\sigma_n(A)\Big).$$

The pro acyclicity implies that the $\{E^\infty_{p+q}(A^m)\} = 0$ for $n \gg p+q$. This makes it possible to relate $H_*(E_n(A))$ and $H_*(V_n(A))$.

Then, using covering argument as in [Su82] with Van der Kallen's acyclicity, we prove the homology pro stability for $V_n(A)$ and $E_n(A)$ simultaneously. We doubt that we are able to prove them separately.

The homology pro stability for $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ follows from the one for $E_n(A)$ and the stability for K_1 established in §1.

In §4.2, we explain Volodin spaces. In §4.3, we explain Van der Kallen's acyclicity.

To prove the homology stability for $V_n(A)$ and $E_n(A)$, we have to prepare more propositions to make the induction works. We give the whole statement in §4.4 as Theorem 4.5. Here, we also explain how to deduce the homology pro stability for $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ from the one for $E_n(A)$. The proof of Theorem 4.5 is done in §4.5-§4.8.

4.2. **Volodin spaces.** Let G be a group and $\{G_i\}_{i\in I}$ a family of subgroups of G. We define the *Volodin space* $V(G, \{G_i\}_{i\in I})$ to be the simplicial subset of EG formed by simplices $(g_0, \ldots, g_p)$ such that there exists $i \in I$ and $g_j g_k^{-1} \in G_i$ for all $0 \leq j, k \leq p$.

The $V(G, \{G_i\}_{i\in I}) \subset EG$ is stable under the right action of G, and $V(G, \{G_i\})/G = \bigcup_{i\in I} BG_i$. Hence, we have a spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(G, H_q(V(G, \{G_i\}_{i\in I}))) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\Big(\bigcup_{i\in I} BG_i\Big). \tag{4.1}$$

Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring. We consider the Volodin space

$$V_n(A) := V(E_n(A), \{T^\sigma_n\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n}).$$

The permutation group Σ_n acts on $V_n(A)$ by conjugation, and $E_n(A)$ acts on $V_n(A)$ by the right multiplication.

Here are some properties of the Volodin spaces we need.

Lemma 4.1 (Suslin-Wodzicki)**.** *Let $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. The canonical projection and the inclusion*

$$V(E_n(A), \{T_n^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n}) \rightleftarrows V\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_n(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix}, \left\{\begin{pmatrix} T_n^\sigma(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix}\right\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n}\right)$$

are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences.

Proof. See [SW92, Corollary 2.7]. □

Lemma 4.2 (Suslin-Wodzicki)**.** *For every $n \geq 0$, the action of $E_{n+1}(A^2)$ on the image of the canonical map*

$$H_*(V_n(A)) \to H_*(V_{n+1}(A))$$

is trivial.

Proof. See [SW92, Lemma 2.8]. □

4.3. **Van der Kallen's acyclicity.** Let A be an associative (not necessarily unital) ring. Let $n > 1$. We have defined the set $\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}$ of A-unimodular functions (cf. Lemma 1.7). We define the associated semi-simplicial set as follows: A p-simplex is an A-unimodular function $f \in \mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}$ with $|\operatorname{dom} f| = p + 1$. The i-th face $d_i \colon (\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n})_p \to (\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n})_{p-1}$ $(0 \leq i \leq p)$ is defined by

$$(f, \operatorname{dom} f = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\}) \mapsto f|_{\{i_0,\dots,\hat{i}_k,\dots,i_p\}}.$$

As in the preceding section, for a semi-simplicial set X, we denote by $C_*(X)$ the complex freely generated by X_* with the differential being the alternating sum of the faces.

The following result is proved by van der Kallen [vdK80] in case A is unital, and the proof can be easily modified for non-unital rings. We can also find the complete proof in [Su96, §2].

Theorem 4.3. *The complex $C_*(\mathsf{Uni}_{A,n})$ is $(n - \operatorname{sr}(A) - 1)$-acyclic.*

Let R be a unital ring which contains A as a two sided ideal. Let $\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathsf{SUni}}_{A,n}(R)$) be the set of all unimodular functions $f \in \mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}(R)$ for which there exits $\alpha \in E_n(A)$ (resp. $\alpha \in E_n(R, A)$) such that $f(i) = e_i\alpha$ for all $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$.

Corollary 4.4 (Suslin)**.**

(a) The complex $C_*(\overline{\mathsf{SUni}}_{A,n}(R))$ is $(n - \operatorname{sr}(A) - 1)$-acyclic.
(b) The pro system of complexes $\{C_*(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n})\}_m$ is $(n - \operatorname{sr}(A) - 1)$-acyclic, i.e.

$$\{\tilde{H}_q(C_*(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}))\}_m = 0$$

as a pro system for $q \leq n - \operatorname{sr}(A) - 1$.

Proof. (i) See [Su96, Corollary 2.8].
(ii) By Lemma 1.13, the canonical map

$$\{\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}\}_m \to \{\overline{\mathsf{SUni}}_{A^m,n}(R)\}$$

is a pro isomorphism. Hence, (i) implies (ii). □

4.4. **The statement.** We say that a levelwise action of a pro group $\{G_m\}$ on a pro object $\{M_m\}$ is *pro trivial* if for all m there exists $s \geq m$ such that $\iota_{s,m}(gx) = \iota_{s,m}(x)$ for all $g \in G_s$ and $x \in M_s$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be a pro unital commutative ring. Let $r := \mathrm{sr}(A)$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then:*

(i) The canonical map

$$\{H_l(V_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(V_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2l + r + 1$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + r + 2$.

(ii) The conjugate action of Σ_n on $\{H_l(V_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2l+r+2$.

(iii) The action of $\{E_n(A^m)\}_m$ on $\{H_l(V_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2l+r+2$.

(iv) The canonical map

$$\{H_l(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \left\{ H_l\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_n(A^m) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + r - 2$ and for any $k \geq 0$.

(v) The conjugate action of Σ_n on $\{H_l(E_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2l+r-1$.

(vi) The canonical map

$$\{H_l(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2l + r - 2$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + r - 1$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a pro unital commutative ring, and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the canonical map*

$$\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 2$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$.

Proof. The case $l = 0$ is clear. The case $l = 1$ is proved in Theorem 1.14. Let $l \geq 2$.

Let $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(A)$, which is the case for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 2$. By Theorem 1.12 and Lemma 1.13, we have a short exact sequence of pro groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \{E_n(A^m)\} \longrightarrow \{\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\} \longrightarrow \{K_1(A^m)\} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $K_1(A^m) := K_1(\mathbb{Z} \ltimes A, A^m)$. Now, we have a morphism of spectral sequences;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^nE^2_{p,q} = \{H_p(K_1(A^m), H_q(E_n(A^m)))\} & \Longrightarrow & \{H_{p+q}(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q} = \{H_p(K_1(A^m), H_q(E_{n+1}(A^m)))\} & \Rightarrow & \{H_{p+q}(\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(A^m))\}. \end{array}$$

Using these spectral sequences, we can easily deduce the corollary from Theorem 4.5 (vi). □

We prove the theorem by induction on $l = 0$. The case $l = 0$ is clear. Also, $(iv, v, vi)_{l=1}$ holds for the obvious reasons: $(v, vi)_{l=1}$ follows from that $\{H_1(E_n(A^m))\}_m = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. For $(vi)_{l=1}$, note that we have a short exact sequence

$$M_{n,k}(A)_{E_n(A)} \longrightarrow H_1(E_n(A) \ltimes M_{n,k}(A)) \longrightarrow H_1(E_n(A)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and it is easy to verify that for $n \geq 2$ we have $\{M_{n,k}(A^m)_{E_n(A^m)}\} = 0$.

Let $L > 0$. The proof is divided into the four steps:

Step 1: $(i, ii, iii)_{l<L-1} \Rightarrow (iii)_{l=L-1}$.
Step 2: $(iii)_{l\leq L-1}$, $(iv)_{<L+1} \Rightarrow (iv)_{l=L+1}$.
Step 3: $(iv)_{l\leq L+1}$, $(v, vi)_{l<L+1} \Rightarrow (v, vi)_{l=L+1}$.
Step 4: $(i, ii)_{l<L-1}$, $(iii)_{l\leq L-1}$, $(vi)_{l\leq L+1} \Rightarrow (i, ii)_{l=L-1}$.

4.5. **Step 1: Covering argument I.** Suppose that $(i, ii, iii)_{l<L-1}$ hold. Then we show $(iii)_{l=L}$: The action of $\{E_n(A^m)\}_m$ on $\{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2L + r$.

We remark that we do not need the pro unitality of A here; A could be an arbitrary associative ring.

4.5.1. *Covering spectral sequence.* Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, let Π_n^I be the set of all partial ordering σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for which every $i \in I$ is maximal. Set

$$V_n(A)^I := V_n(E_n(A), \{T_n^\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^I}).$$

Then $V_n(A) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_n(A)^i$, and there is a spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q}(A) = \bigsqcup_{|I|=p+1} H_q(V_n(A)^I) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(V_n(A)).$$

Recall that $\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}$ is the sets of all A-unimodular function $f \in \mathsf{Uni}_{A,n}$ for which there exists $\alpha \in E_n(A)$ such that $f(i) = e_i\alpha$ for all $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Let $\mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n} := \{f \in \mathsf{SUni}_{A,n} \mid \operatorname{dom} f = I\}$. We define a map

$$\phi\colon V_n(A)^I \to \mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}$$

by sending $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_q)$ to $f\colon i \mapsto e_i\alpha_0$. Note that, for each q-simplex $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q)$ in $V_n(A)^I$, we have $e_i\alpha_0 = e_i\alpha_k$ for all k and $i \in I$. Hence, ϕ is a morphism of simplicial sets regarding $\mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}$ as a constant simplicial set.

Let f_0 be the unimodular function defined by $f_0(i) = e_i$ for $i \in I =: \operatorname{dom} f$. Then we have an equality

$$\phi^{-1}(f_0) = V(E_n(A)^I, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^I}),$$

where $E_n(A)^I = \{\alpha \in E_n(A) \mid e_i\alpha = e_i, \forall i \in I\}$. For any $f \in \mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}$, there exists $\alpha \in E_n(A)$ with $f \cdot \alpha = f_0$, which is unique modulo $E_n(A)^I$. Since the map ϕ is $E_n(A)$-equivariant, such an α gives an isomorphism $\phi^{-1}(f) \simeq \phi^{-1}(f_0)$.

Choose a $\Lambda_I \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}, E_n(A))$ such that $f \cdot \Lambda_I(f) = f_0$. Then Λ_I determines an isomorphism

$$(\phi, \Lambda_I)\colon V_n(A)^I \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n} \times V(E_n(A)^I, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^I}). \tag{4.2}$$

For any sequence $1 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_p \leq n$, there is a unique $(n-p-1, p+1)$-shuffle σ with $\sigma(n-p, \dots, n) = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$. Put $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\}$. Conjugation by the $\sigma =: \sigma_I$ gives isomorphisms

$$V_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n} \simeq V_n(A)^I, \quad \mathsf{SUni}^{n-p,\dots,n}_{A,n} \simeq \mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}$$

and

$$V(E_{n+1}(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^{n-p,\dots,n}}) \simeq V(E_n(A)^I, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^I}). \tag{4.3}$$

Bringing all the above observations together, we have:

Memorandum 4.7. There is an isomorphism (cf. (4.2, 4.3))

$$\Phi_\Lambda\colon E^1_{p,q}(A) \simeq C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) \otimes H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^{n-p,\dots,n}})) \tag{4.4}$$

which depends on the choice of $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}, E_n(A))$ with $(f\cdot\Lambda(f))(i) = e_i$ for all $i \in \mathrm{dom}\, f$. For an another choice of Λ', there exists $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}, E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n})$ such that $\Lambda'\gamma = \Lambda$ and $\Phi_{\Lambda'}\gamma = \Phi_\Lambda$.

Under the isomorphism (4.4), the differential $d^1\colon E_{p,q} \to E_{p-1,q}$ is given by, for $f \in \mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n}$ and $u \in H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A)\})$,

$$d^1(f\otimes u) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^k d_k f \otimes \tau_{I,k}(\delta u)\tau_{I,k}^{-1}\alpha_k. \tag{4.5}$$

Here, α_k is a certain element in $E_n(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}$ (which depends on f), $\tau_{I,k} := \sigma^{-1}_{I\setminus\{i_k\}}\sigma_I$, and δ is the canonical map

$$H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A)\})) \to H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A)\})).$$

4.5.2. *Pro object arguments.* Let $\bar{E}_n(A) := \mathrm{GL}_n(A)\cap E(A)$. Suppose that $n \geq r+1$ (which holds if $n \geq 2L + r$). According to Corollary 1.15, we have $\bar{E}_n(A^{3m}) \subset E_n(A^m)$ for each $m \geq 1$, and the inclusion $\{E_n(A^m)\} \to \{\bar{E}_n(A^m)\}$ is a pro isomorphism. Hence, the canonical morphism

$$\{E_n(A^m)^{n-p,\dots,n}\}_m \to \left\{\begin{pmatrix} \bar{E}_{n-p-1}(A^m) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}\right\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{V(E_n(A^m)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A^m)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^{n-p,\dots,n}})\}_m \\ &\to \left\{V\left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{E}_{n-p-1}(A^m) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}, \left\{\begin{pmatrix} T^\sigma_{n-p-1}(A^m) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}\right\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_{n-p-1}}\right)\right\}_m\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

is a pro isomorphism. On the other hand, according to Lemma 4.1, the canonical inclusion and projection

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A) &:= V(\bar{E}_{n-p-1}(A), \{T^\sigma_{n-p-1}(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_{n-p-1}}) \\ &\rightleftarrows V\left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{E}_{n-p-1}(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}, \left\{\begin{pmatrix} T^\sigma_{n-p-1}(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}\right\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_{n-p-1}}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences. By (4.6, 4.7), we obtain a level map

$$\psi\colon \{H_q(V(E_n(A^m)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A^m)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n^{n-p,\dots,n}}))\}_m \to \{H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.8}$$

which is a pro isomorphism. More precisely, ψ is levelwise injective, and $\iota_{3m,m}H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{3m}))$ is in the image of ψ_m for each $m \geq 1$. We note that ψ is $\{(E_n(A^m)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \bar{E}(A^m)_{n-p-1})\}$-equivariant.

We consider the composite

$$\begin{aligned}E^1_{p,q}(A) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Phi_\Lambda} C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) \otimes H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T^\sigma_n(A)\})) \\ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\psi} C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A)).\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $q < L-1$ and $n-p-1 \geq 2q+r+2$. Then by $(iii)_{<L-1}$, the action of $\{E_{n-p-1}(A^m)\}$ on $\{H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial, i.e. for each $m \geq 1$,

there exists $s > m$ such that, for any $x \in H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^s))$ and $\alpha \in E_{n-p-1}(A^s)$, $\iota_{s,m}(\alpha x) = \iota_{s,m}(x)$. Also, $\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{3s}) \to \bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^s)$ factors through $V_{n-p-1}(A^s)$ by Corollary 1.15. Hence, for $s(m) := 3s$, the composite Ψ_m

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\psi\circ\Phi_\Lambda} & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{s(m)},n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{s(m)})) \\ & \searrow^{\Psi_m} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{s(m),m}} \\ & & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

does not depend on the choice of Λ. We may assume $s(m) < s(m+1)$ for every $m \geq 1$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m+1)}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{m+1}} & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{m+1},n} \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{m+1})) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{s(m+1),s(m)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{m+1,m}} \\ E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_m} & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, we obtain a morphism of pro abelian groups

$$\Psi \colon \{E^1_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \to \{C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}_m. \tag{4.9}$$

Claim 4.8. For $q < L-1$ and $n-p-1 \geq 2q+r+2$, the map Ψ (4.9) is a pro isomorphism.

Proof. Fix $m \geq 1$. Now, $\iota_{3s(m),s(m)} H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{3s(m)}))$ is in the image of ψ. By a simple diagram chase of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^t,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{3s(m)})) \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Phi_\Lambda} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\psi} & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{s(m)},n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{s(m)})) \\ & \searrow^{\Psi_m} & & \downarrow \\ & & & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)), \end{array}$$

we see that $\iota_{3s(m),m} C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{3s(m)},n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^t))$ is in the image of Ψ_m. Hence, Ψ is a pro epimorphism.

It remains to show that Ψ is a pro monomorphism. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \hookrightarrow & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{s(m)},n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^{s(m)})) \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\Psi_m} \circlearrowright & \downarrow \\ E^1_{p,q}(A^m) & \hookrightarrow & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)). \end{array}$$

The square commutes modulo $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^{s(m)},n}, \bar{E}_{n-1}(A^m))$. Hence, given $x \in E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)})$ with $\Psi_m(x) = 0$, we have $\iota_{s(m),m} x = 0$. This proves that Ψ is a pro monomorphism. □

For $\alpha \in E_n(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}$, let $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{E}_{n-p}(A)$ be the image of α under the natural projection. We can verify that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\})) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha\tau_{I,k}\delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\alpha}\tau_{i_k-k,n-p}\delta} \\ H_q(V(E_n(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A)\})) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A)) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\tau_{i,j}$ is the permutation of i and j.

Suppose that $q < L-1$ and $n-p \geq 2q+r+2$. Then, for each $m \geq 1$, there exists $s > m$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_q(V(E_n(A^s)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A^s)\})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{s,m}\psi} & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha\tau_{I,k}\delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ H_q(V(E_n(A^s)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A^s)\})) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{s,m}\psi} & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A^m)) \end{array} \tag{4.10}$$

commutes for every $\alpha \in E_n(A^s)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}$. Indeed, by $(ii)_{<L-1}$ and $(iii)_{<L-1}$, for each m there exists $t > m$ such that

$$\iota_{t,m}(gx) = \iota_{t,m}(\tau x) = \iota_{t,m}x$$

for all $x \in H_q(V_{n-p}(A^t))$, $g \in E_{n-p}(A^t)$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_{n-p}$. Also, $H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A^{3t})) \to H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A^t))$ factors through $H_q(V_{n-p}(A^t))$. Hence, if we put $s := 3t$, the diagram (4.10) factors through

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_q(V(E_n(A^s)^{n-p,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A^s)\})) & \longrightarrow & H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^t)) & \longrightarrow & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha\tau_{I,k}\delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\alpha}\tau_{i_k-k,n-p}\delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ H_q(V(E_n(A^s)^{n-p+1,\dots,n}, \{T_n^\sigma(A^s)\})) & \longrightarrow & H_q(V_{n-p}(A^t)) & \longrightarrow & H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

and the both squares are commutative.

By (4.5) and the commutativity of (4.10), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,q}(A^s) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\iota_{s,m}\psi} & C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A^m)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sum(-1)^k d_k \otimes \delta} \\ E^1_{p-1,q}(A^s) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Lambda'}} \xrightarrow{\iota_{s,m}\psi} & C_{p-1}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p}(A^m)) \end{array}$$

commutes. By replacing $s(m)$ and s by $\max(s(m), s)$, we may assume that the horizontal maps are the maps Ψ_m (4.9) unless $n-p = 2q+r+2$; in the last case only the bottom horizontal map can be identified with Ψ_m.

Consequently, for $q < L-1$, we have obtained a morphism of pro complexes

$$\Psi\colon \{\tau_{\leq n-2q-r-3}E^1_{\bullet,q}(A^m)\}_m \to \{\tau_{\leq n-2q-r-3}(C_\bullet(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-1-\bullet}(A^m)))\}_m \tag{4.11}$$

and it is a pro isomorphism by Claim 4.8.

Claim 4.9. For $q < L-1$ and $0 < p \leq n-2q-r-3$,

$$\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m = 0.$$

Proof. Put $F_{p,q}(A) := C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) \otimes H_q(\bar{V}_{n-p-1}(A))$, which we regard as a complex in p with differential $\partial := \sum (-1)^k d_k \otimes \delta$. First, we show that, for $q < L-1$ and $0 < p \leq n-2q-r-3$, $\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q}(A^m))\} = 0$.

Suppose that $q < L-1$ and $n-p-1 \geq 2q+r+2$. Then, by $(i)_{<L-1}$, $\{H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^m))\} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_q(V_{n-p}(A^m))\}$ is a pro isomorphism. Hence, we have

$$\{\ker(F_{p,q}(A^m) \to F_{p-1,q}(A^m))\}_m \simeq \{Z_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}_m, \tag{4.12}$$

where $Z_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) := \ker(C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}) \to C_{p-1}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n}))$.

According to Corollary 4.4, we have

$$\{H_p(C_*(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}))\}_m = 0$$

for $0 < p \leq n-r$. Hence, for $0 < p \leq n-r$, the map

$$\{C_{p+1}(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n})\}_m \to \{Z_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n})\}_m \tag{4.13}$$

is a pro epimorphism. Also, by $(i)_{<L}$,

$$\{H_q(V_{n-p-2}(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_q(V_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.14}$$

is a pro epimorphism. By (4.12, 4.13, 4.14), $\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q}(A^m))\} = 0$ for $q < L-1$ and $0 < p \leq n-2q-r-3$.

For $q < L-1$ and $p < n-2L-r-3$, Ψ (4.11) induces a pro isomorphism

$$\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{H_p F_{\bullet,q}(A^m)\}_m.$$

Hence, in this case, the vanishing of $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}$ follows from the one of $\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q}(A^m))\}$.

Suppose that $q < L-1$ and $p = n-2q-r-3$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p+1,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\iota_{s(m),m}\psi} & F_{p+1,q}(A^m) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial} \\ E^1_{p,q}(A^{s(m)}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_m} & F_{p,q}(A^m). \end{array}$$

Since $\{H_{p,q}(F_{\bullet,q}(A^m))\} = 0$, for each $m \geq 1$ there exists $t > m$ such that, for every $y \in \ker(F_{p,q}(A^t) \to F_{p-1,q}(A^t))$, $\iota_{t,m}(y) = \partial z$ for some $z \in F_{p+1,q}(A^m)$. Now we start from $m = 3s(m_0)$. Let $x \in Z^2_{p,q}(A^{s(t)})$. Then $\iota_{t,m}\Psi_t(x) = \partial z$ for some $z \in F_{p+1,q}(A^m)$. The $\iota_{m,s(m_0)}z$ lifts to $w \in E^1_{p+1,q}(A^{s(m_0)})$ along $\psi \circ \Phi_\Lambda$, and $\Psi_{m_0}(d^1(w)) = \Psi_{m_0}(\iota_{s(t),s(m_0)}x)$. Then $d^1(\iota_{s(m_0),m_0}w) = \iota_{s(t),m_0}x$, as in the proof of pro monicity of Ψ in Claim 4.8. This proves $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\} = 0$. □

4.5.3. *Conclusion.* Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r$. If $p+q = L-1$ and $p > 0$, then $q < L-1$ and $0 < p \leq n-2q-r-3$. Hence, by Claim 4.9, the $E^2_{p,q}$-terms with $p+q = L-1$ are pro trivial unless $E^2_{0,L-1}$, and the edge map

$$\{E^1_{0,L-1}(A^m)\}_m \to \{H_L(V_n(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism. The left hand side is pro isomorphic to $\{C_0(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_{L-1}(V_{n-1}(A^m))\}$ under Ψ.

It is easy to see that the composite

$$\{C_0(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_L(V_{n-1}(A^m))\}_m \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Psi^{-1}} \{E^1_{0,L-1}(A^m)\}_m \twoheadrightarrow \{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.15}$$

coincides (as pro morphisms) with the level map defined by; $f \otimes u \mapsto \tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1}\alpha$, where f is a unimodular function with $\operatorname{dom} f = \{i\}$, $u \in H_L(V_{n-1}(A^m))$, and α is a certain element in $E_n(A)$ with $f(i)\alpha = e_i$. According to Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1}\alpha = \tau_{i,n}(\delta u)(\tau_{i,n}^{-1}\alpha\tau_{i,n})\tau_{i,n}^{-1} = \tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1}.$$

Now we have seen that for each $m \geq 1$ there exists $s \geq m$ such that: $\forall x \in H_{L-1}(V_n(A^s))\ \exists u \in H_L(V_{n-1}(A^m))\ \exists i \leq n\ \iota_{s,m}x = \tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1}$. Using Lemma 4.2 again, we conclude that the action of $\{E_n(A^m)\}$ on $\{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial.

4.6. **Step 2: V to E.** Let A be a pro unital commutative ring. Suppose that $(iii)_{l\leq L-1}$ and $(vi)_{l<L+1}$ hold. Then we show $(vi)_{l=L}$: The canonical map

$$\{H_L(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \left\{ H_L\left(\begin{pmatrix} E_n(A^m) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix}\right)\right\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2L + r$ and for any $k \geq 0$.

Suppose that $n \geq 2L + r$. Fix $k \geq 0$. Set

$$\tilde{E}_n(A) := \begin{pmatrix} E_n(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{T}_n^\sigma(A) := \begin{pmatrix} T_n^\sigma(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{V}_n(A) := V(\tilde{E}_n(A), \{\tilde{T}_n^\sigma(A)\}_{\sigma\in\Pi_n}).$$

By Lemma 4.1, the canonical inclusion and projection $V_n \rightleftarrows \tilde{V}_n(A)$ are mutually inverse homotopy equivalences. It follows that the action of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_n & * \\ 0 & 1_k \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ on $H_*(\tilde{V}_n(A))$ is trivial. By $(iii)_{\leq L-1}$, for $q \leq L-1$, the action of $\{E_n(A^m)\}$ on $\{H_q(V_n(A^m))\} \simeq \{H_q(\tilde{V}_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial. Hence, for $q \leq L-1$, the action of $\{\tilde{E}_n(A^m)\}$ on $\{H_q(\tilde{V}_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial.

Consider the spectral sequences and the canonical morphism between them;

$$\begin{array}{c} E^2_{p,q}(A) := H_p(E_n(A), H_q(V_n(A))) \Longrightarrow H_{p+q}(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} BT_n^\sigma(A)) \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A) := H_p(\tilde{E}_n(A), H_q(\tilde{V}_n(A))) \Longrightarrow H_{p+q}(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} B\tilde{T}_n^\sigma(A)). \end{array}$$

For $q \leq L-1$, the E^2-terms fit into the extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \{H_p(E_n(A^m)) \otimes H_q(V_n(A^m))\} & \to & \{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\} & \to & \{\operatorname{Tor}(H_{p-1}(E_n(A^m)), H_q(V_n(A^m)))\} & \to & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & \{H_p(\tilde{E}_n(A^m)) \otimes H_q(\tilde{V}_n(A^m))\} & \to & \{\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m)\} & \to & \{\operatorname{Tor}(H_{p-1}(\tilde{E}_n(A^m)), H_q(\tilde{V}_n(A^m)))\} & \to & 0. \end{array}$$

By $(iv)_{<L+1}$, for $p \leq L$, $\{H_p(E_n(A^m))\} \to \{\tilde{H}_p(E_n(A^m))\}$ is a pro isomorphism. Hence, for $p \leq L$ and $q \leq L-1$,

$$\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \to \{\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism. Also, $E^2_{0,q}(A) \to \tilde{E}^2_{0,q}(A)$ is an isomorphism for all $q \geq 0$, since $H_*(V_n(A)) \simeq H_*(\tilde{V}_n(A))$.

According to Theorem 3.13, for $n \geq 2i$, $\{E_i^\infty(A^m)\} \to \{\tilde{E}_i^\infty(A^m)\}$ is a pro isomorphism.

Bringing these together, we have:

(1) $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p + q = L - 1$.

(2) $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p+q=L$.
(3) $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{\tilde{E}^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p+q=L+1$ and $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 1$.
(4) $\{E^\infty_L(A^m)\} \simeq \{\tilde{E}^\infty_L(A^m)\}$ and $\{E^\infty_{L+1}(A^m)\} \simeq \{\tilde{E}^\infty_{L+1}(A^m)\}$.

Then by Lemma 4.10 below, we conclude that

$$\{E^2_{L+1,0}(A^m)\}_m \to \{\tilde{E}^2_{L+1,0}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism, and thus a pro isomorphism.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Let $f\colon E \to \tilde{E}$ be a morphism of first quadrant homological spectral sequence in $\mathcal{A}$, and let $L \geq 0$. Assume that f induces:*
(1) A monomorphism $E^2_{p,q} \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q=L-1$.
(2) An isomorphism $E^2_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q=L$.
(3) An epimorphism $E^2_{p,q} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q=L+1$, $q \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$.
(4) An isomorphism $E^\infty_L \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^\infty_L$ and an epimorphism $E^\infty_{L+1} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{E}^\infty_{L+1}$.
Then f induces an epimorphism

$$E^2_{L+1,0} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{E}^2_{L+1,0}.$$

Proof. See [Su96, Remark A.5]. □

4.7. **Step 3: Covering argument II.** Suppose that $(vi)_{l \leq L+1}$ and $(v,vi)_{l<L+1}$ hold. Then we show $(v,vi)_{l=L+1}$: The conjugate action of Σ_n on $\{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2L+r+1$. And, the canonical map

$$\{H_l(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2L+r$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2L+r+1$.

As in Step 1, we do not need the pro unitality of A here.

Sublemma 4.11. *Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r$. Then the conjugate action of Σ_{n+1} on the image of*

$$\{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.16}$$

is pro trivial.

Proof. Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r$. According to Lemma 1.13, we have pro isomorphism

$$\{E_n(A^m)\} \simeq \{E_n(\tilde{A}, A^m)\},$$

where $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{Z} \ltimes A$. Hence, it suffices to show that the conjugate action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ on the image of

$$\{H_{L+1}(E_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\}_m \to \{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(\tilde{A}, A^m))\}_m \tag{4.17}$$

is pro trivial.

Note that $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}) = E_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $e_{i,n+1}(1)$, $e_{n+1,i}(1)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $\mathrm{diag}(1,\dots,1,-1)$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{diag}(1,\dots,1,-1)$ acts trivially on the image of $H_{L+1}(E_n(\tilde{A}, A)) \to H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(\tilde{A}, A))$.

We show the triviality of the conjugate action of $e_{i,n+1}(1)$; the one of $e_{n+1,i}(1)$ is similar. Note that $E_n(\tilde{A}, A) \subset E_{n+1}(\tilde{A}, A)$ factors through

$$\tilde{E}_n(\tilde{A}, A) := \begin{pmatrix} E_n(\tilde{A}, A) & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset E_{n+1}(\tilde{A}, A)$$

and it is normalized by $e_{i,n+1}(1)$. Hence, it suffices to show that $e_{i,n+1}(1)$ acts pro trivially on the image of $i\colon \{H_{L+1}(E_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\} \to \{H_{L+1}(\tilde{E}_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\}$. Now, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{H_{L+1}(\tilde{E}_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\} & \xrightarrow{e_{i,n+1}(1)} & \{H_{L+1}(\tilde{E}_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ \{H_{L+1}(E_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \{H_{L+1}(E_n(\tilde{A}, A^m))\}, \end{array}$$

and thus $p \circ (e_{i,n+1}(1) \circ i) = \mathrm{id} = p \circ i$. According to $(iv)_{L+1}$ and Lemma 1.13, p is a pro isomorphism. Hence, $e_{i,n+1}(1)$ acts pro trivially on the image of i. □

According to Corollary 4.4, for $n - r \geq q$,

$$\{\tilde{H}_q(C_*(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n+1}))\}_m = 0.$$

It follows that we have a pro isomorphism

$$\{H_q(E_{n+1}(A^m), C_\bullet(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n+1}))\}_m \simeq \{H_q(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.18}$$

for $n - r \geq q$.

We consider the hyperhomology spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q}(A) := H_q(E_{n+1}(A), C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n+1})) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(E_{n+1}(A), C_\bullet(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n+1})).$$

The $C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n+1})$ decomposes into a direct sum of $E_{n+1}(A)$-submodules

$$C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n+1}) = \bigsqcup_{|I|=p+1} C_p(\mathsf{SUni}^I_{A,n+1}).$$

Let f_0 be the unimodular function defined by $f_0(i) = e_i$ for $i \in I =: \mathrm{dom}\, f$. Then, the map sending $\alpha \in E_{n+1}(A)$ to $f_0 \cdot \alpha$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}E_{n+1}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}E_{n+1}(A)^I} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_p(\mathsf{SUni}_{A,n+1})^I.$$

Hence, by Shapiro's lemma,

$$E^1_{p,q}(A) \simeq \bigsqcup_{|I|=p+1} H_q(E_{n+1}(A)^I).$$

Let $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\}$ with $1 \leq i_0 < \cdots < i_p \leq n+1$. Then there is a unique $(n-p, p+1)$-shuffle σ_I such that $\sigma_I(n-p+1, \dots, n+1) = (i_0, \dots, i_p)$. Conjugation by σ_I induces an isomorphism $E_{n+1}(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n+1} \simeq E_{n+1}(A)^I$. Consider the composite

$$E_{n+1}(A)^I \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_{n+1}(A)^{n-p+1,\dots,n+1} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bar{E}_{n-p}(A) & * \\ 0 & 1_{p+1} \end{pmatrix} \to \bar{E}_{n-p}(A).$$

Note that the second map gives a pro isomorphism for $n \geq r$. The above composite induces a map

$$\Psi\colon E^1_{p,q}(A) \to \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p}(A)). \tag{4.19}$$

We define

$$\partial\colon \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p}(A)) \to \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_{p-1}} H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p+1}(A)) \tag{4.20}$$

by

$$\partial((i_0,\dots,i_p)\otimes u)=\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^k(i_0,\dots,\hat{i_k},\dots,i_p)\otimes\tau_{i_k-k,n-p+1}\delta u,$$

where δ is the canonical map $H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p}(A))\to H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p+1}(A))$ and $\tau_{i,j}$ is the permutation of i and j. It is easy to see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{p,q}(A) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p}(A)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \partial} \\ E^1_{p-1,q}(A) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_{p-1}} H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p+1}(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Note that we have a pro isomorphism $\{E_{n-p}(A^m)\}\simeq\{\bar{E}_{n-p}(A^m)\}$ for $n-p\geq r+1$. It follows from $(iv)_{\leq L+1}$ that the map Ψ (4.19) induces a pro isomorphism

$$\Psi\colon\{E^1_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m\xrightarrow{\simeq}\Big\{\bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_p}H_q(E_{n-p}(A^m))\Big\}_m \tag{4.21}$$

for $q\leq L+1$ and $n-p\geq\max\{2q+r-2,r+1\}$.

According to $(v)_{<L+1}$, ∂ (4.20) coincides (as pro morphisms) with

$$(i_0,\dots,i_p)\otimes u\mapsto\sum_{k=0}^{p}(-1)^k(i_0,\dots,\hat{i_k},\dots,i_p)\otimes\delta u, \tag{4.22}$$

for $q\leq L$ and $n-p+1\geq 2q+r-1$. Consequently, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{E^1_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \{N[n]_p\otimes H_q(\bar{E}_{n-p}(A^m))\}_m \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle d^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d\otimes\delta} \\ \{E^1_{p-1,q}(A^m)\}_m & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Psi} & \{N[n]_{p-1}\otimes H_q(E_{n-p+1}(A^m))\}_m \end{array} \tag{4.23}$$

for $q\leq L$ and $n-p+1\geq 2q+r-1$. Here $N[n]$ is the nerve of the partially ordered set $[n]:=\{1<2<\cdots<n+1\}$.

Claim 4.12. For $q\leq L$ and $n-p\geq 2q+r-1$, we have $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}=0$.

Proof. Put $F_{p,q}(A):=N[n]_p\otimes H_q(E_{n-p-1}(A))$, which we regard as a complex in p with differential $d\otimes\delta$. Then by $(vi)_{<L+1}$, for $q\leq L$ and $n-p\geq 2q+r-1$,

$$\{\ker(F_{p,q}(A^m)\to F_{p-1,q}(A^m))\}_m\simeq\{\ker(\mathbb{Z}N[n]_p\to\mathbb{Z}N[n]_{p-1})\otimes H_q(E_{n-p+1}(A^m))\}_m.$$

Again by $(vi)_{<L+1}$, for $q\leq L$ and $n-p-1\geq 2q+r-2$

$$\{H_q(E_{n-p-1}(A^m))\}_m\to\{H_q(E_{n-p+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism. It follows that $\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q}(A^m))\}=0$ for $q\leq L$ and $n-p\geq 2q+r-1$.

By (4.21) and (4.23), we have

$$\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m\simeq\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q})(A^m)\}_m$$

for $q\leq L$ and $n-p-1\geq\max\{2q+r-2,r+1\}$. Hence, in case $q\leq L$ and $2q+r-2\geq r+1$, the vanishing of $\{E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}$ follows form the one of $\{H_p(F_{\bullet,q})(A^m)\}$

It remains to show the case $n-p \geq r+1$ and $q=1$. However, then the assertion is clear, because by (4.21)

$$\Psi\colon \{E^1_{p,1}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \Big\{ \bigsqcup_{i_0<\cdots<i_p} H_1(E_{n-p}(A^m)) \Big\}_m = 0.$$

□

Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r$. Then the E^2-terms with $p+q = L+1$ are pro trivial unless $E^2_{0,L+1}$. Hence, the edge map

$$\{E^1_{0,L+1}(A^m)\}_m \to \{E^\infty_{L+1}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism. The left hand side is pro isomorphic to $\{N[n]_0 \otimes H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}$ by (4.21), and the right hand side is pro isomorphic to $\{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}$ by (4.18). It is easy to see that the edge map coincides with the level map

$$N[n]_0 \otimes H_{L+1}(E_n(A)) \to H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A)), \quad i \otimes u \mapsto \tau_{i,n+1}\delta.$$

According to Sublemma 4.11, the edge map factors through

$$\delta\colon \{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m.$$

Hence, δ is a pro epimorphism.

Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r+1$. Then by Claim 4.12, $\{E^s_{s,L-s+2}(A^m)\} = 0$ for $s \geq 2$. Hence, we have an exact sequence

$$\{N[n]_1 \otimes H_{L+1}(E_{n-1}(A^m))\} \to \{N[n]_0 \otimes H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\} \to \{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A^m))\} \to 0.$$

Since $\{H_{L+1}(E_{n-1}(A^m))\} \to \{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}$ is a pro epimorphism, we conclude that

$$\{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}_m \simeq \{H_{L+1}(E_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m.$$

Finally, by Sublemma 4.11 and the fact that $\{H_{L+1}(E_{n-1}(A^m))\} \to \{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}$ is a pro epimorphism, the action of Σ_n on $\{H_{L+1}(E_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial.

4.8. **Step 4: E to V.** Let A be a pro unital commutative ring. Suppose that $(i,ii)_{l<L-1}$, $(iii)_{l\leq L-1}$ and $(vi)_{l\leq L+1}$ hold. Then we show $(i,ii)_{l\leq L-1}$: The canonical map

$$\{H_l(V_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(V_{n+1}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro epimorphism for $n \geq 2L+r-1$, and a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2L+r$. And, the conjugate action of Σ_n on $\{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2L+r$.

Suppose that $n \geq 2L+r$. Consider the spectral sequences and the canonical morphism between them;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^nE^2_{p,q}(A) := H_p(E_n(A), H_q(V_n(A))) & \Longrightarrow & H_{p+q}\big(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_n} BT^\sigma_n(A)\big) \\ \downarrow & & \\ {}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A) := H_p(E_{n+1}(A), H_q(V_{n+1}(A))) & \Rightarrow & H_{p+q}\big(\bigcup_{\sigma\in\Pi_{n+1}} BT^\sigma_{n+1}(A)\big). \end{array}$$

By $(iii)_{\leq L-1}$, for $q \leq L-1$, the E^2-terms fit into the extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \{H_p(E_n(A^m)) \otimes H_q(V_n(A^m))\} & \to & \{{}^nE^2_{p,q}(A^m)\} & \to & \{\mathrm{Tor}(H_{p-1}(E_n(A^m)), H_q(V_n(A^m)))\} & \to & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & \{H_p(E_{n+1}(A^m)) \otimes H_q(V_{n+1}(A^m))\} & \to & \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\} & \to & \{\mathrm{Tor}(H_{p-1}(E_{n+1}(A^m)), H_q(V_{n+1}(A^m)))\} & \to & 0. \end{array}$$

By $(i)_{<L-1}$ and $(vi)_{\leq L+1}$, for $q < L-1$ and $p \leq L+1$, the map

$$\{{}^nE^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \to \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a a pro epimorphism, and is a pro isomorphism if further $n \geq 2p+r-1$.

According to Theorem 3.13, for $n \geq 2i+1$, $\{{}^nE^\infty_i(A^m)\} \simeq \{{}^{n+1}E^\infty_i(A^m)\}$.

Bringing these together, we have:

(1) $\{{}^nE^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p+q = L-1$ and $p \geq 1$.
(2) $\{{}^nE^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \simeq \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p+q = L$ and $p \geq 2$.
(3) $\{{}^nE^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m \twoheadrightarrow \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{p,q}(A^m)\}_m$ for $p+q = L+1$ and $p \geq 3$.
(4) $\{{}^nE^\infty_{L-1}(A^m)\} \simeq \{{}^{n+1}E^\infty_{L-1}(A^m)\}$ and $\{{}^nE^\infty_L(A^m)\} \simeq \{{}^{n+1}E^\infty_L(A^m)\}$.

Then by Lemma 4.13 below, we conclude that

$$\{{}^nE^2_{0,L-1}(A^m)\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{{}^{n+1}E^2_{0,L-1}(A^m)\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism. By $(iii)_{\leq L-1}$, the left hand side (resp. right hand side) is pro isomorphic to $\{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}$ (resp. $\{H_{L-1}(V_{n+1}(A^m))\}$). This proves the second part of $(i)_{l=L-1}$.

Next, we show $(ii)_{l=L-1}$. Now, the canonical map

$$\{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_{L-1}(V_{n+2}(A^m))\}_m \tag{4.24}$$

is a Σ_n-equivariant pro isomorphism. Hence, it suffices to show that Σ_{n+2} (and thus Σ_n) acts pro trivially on $\{H_{L-1}(V_{n+2}(A^m))\}$. Now, the permutation $\tau_{n+1,n+2}$ acts pro trivially on $\{H_{L-1}(V_{n+2}(A^m))\}$, since it acts trivially on the image of the pro isomorphism (4.24). Since Σ_{n+2} is the normal closure of $\tau_{n+1,n+2}$, Σ_{n+2} also acts pro trivially on $\{H_{L-1}(V_{n+2}(A^m))\}$.

In Step 1, we have seen that the map (4.15)

$$\{C_0(\mathsf{SUni}_{A^m,n}) \otimes H_{L-1}(V_{n-1}(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}_m$$

sending $f \otimes u \mapsto \tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1}$ ($\operatorname{dom} f = \{i\}$) is a pro epimorphism (for $n \geq 2L+r$). Now, we know that $\tau_{i,n}(\delta u)\tau_{i,n}^{-1} = \delta u$. Therefore $\delta\colon \{H_{L-1}(V_{n-1}(A^m))\} \to \{H_{L-1}(V_n(A^m))\}$ is a pro epimorphism. This completes the proof of $(i)_{l=L-1}$.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Let $f\colon E \to \tilde{E}$ be a morphism of first quadrant homological spectral sequence in $\mathcal{A}$, and let $L > 0$. Assume that f induces:*

(1) A monomorphism $E^2_{p,q} \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q = L-1$, $p \geq 1$.
(2) An isomorphism $E^2_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q = L$, $p \geq 2$.
(3) An epimorphism $E^2_{p,q} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{E}^2_{p,q}$ for $p+q = L+1$, $p \geq 3$.
(4) Isomorphisms $E^\infty_{L-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^\infty_{L-1}$ and $E^\infty_L \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^\infty_L$.

Then f induces an isomorphism

$$E^2_{0,L-1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}^2_{0,L-1}.$$

Proof. See [Su96, Theorem A.6]. □

5. Relative algebraic K-theory

5.1. **Summary.** Let R be an associative unital ring, and A a two-sided ideal of R. For $l \geq 1$, the relative l-th K-group of the pair (R, A) is defined by

$$K_l(R, A) := \pi_l(\mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+ \to B\,\mathrm{GL}(R/A)^+)).$$

The purpose of this section is to give a specific description of the pro group $\{K_l(R, A^m)\}$, which only depends on A. We may call it an *effective K-theory pro excision*.

Let $\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)$ be the image of the canonical map $\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)$. Then we have a fiber sequence

$$B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A) \to B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A).$$

It follows that when $n \geq \mathrm{sr}(R/A) + 1$ the canonical map

$$\pi_l B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_l B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+$$

is injective for $l \geq 1$ and bijective for $l \geq 2$ (Lemma 5.6). Hence, we have

$$\pi_l(\mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+ \to B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+)) \simeq \pi_l(\mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+ \to B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+))$$

for $l \geq 1$. According to Suslin's stability for K-theory (Theorem 5.1), the left hand side is isomorphic to $K_l(R, A)$ for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(R)$. The problem is whether the homotopy fiber commutes with the plus construction in the right hand side;

$$\mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+ \to B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+) \overset{?}{\simeq} \mathrm{hofib}(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A))^+ = B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A)^+.$$

This is indeed the case if the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ on $H_*(\mathrm{GL}_n(A))$ is trivial.

Now, according to the homology pro stability (Corollary 4.6), we have a weaker result on the action triviality: Assume that A is pro unital commutative, then the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ on $\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(A)$. We may think that we can deduce from the action pro triviality that the homotopy fiber commutes with the plus construction in the pro setting. However, in the pro setting, the plus construction may not work well. We use Bousfield-Kan's completion $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ instead, for which we have a general criterion when $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ commutes with homotopy fiber up to pro isomorphisms (Lemma 5.5). Then, it really happens that

$$\{\pi_l(\mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A^m)))\}_m \simeq \{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m$$

for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(A)$. As in the case of the plus construction, we can show that the left hand side is isomorphic to $K_l(R, A^m)$ for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(R)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\{K_l(R, A^m)\}_m \simeq \{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m$$

for $n \gg l, \mathrm{sr}(R)$ (Theorem 5.3).

5.2. **Suslin's stability for K-theory.** Let R be an associative unital ring. We consider the plus construction $B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+$ of $B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)$ with respect to the perfect radical $E(R)$. For $l \geq 1$, the l-th K-group $K_l(R)$ of R is defined by

$$K_l(R) := \pi_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+).$$

For $n \geq 1$, we also consider the space $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+$, the plus construction of $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ with respect to the perfect radical, and set

$$K_{l,n}(R) := \pi_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+_n).$$

Here is Suslin's stabilization theorem for K-theory.

Theorem 5.1 (Suslin)**.** *Let $l \geq 1$. The canonical map*

$$K_{l,n}(R) \to K_{l,n+1}(R)$$

is surjective for $n \geq \max(2l, l + \mathrm{sr}(R) - 1)$, and is bijective for $n \geq \max(2l + 1, l + \mathrm{sr}(R))$.

Proof. See [Su82, Theorem 8.2]. □

Let $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ be Bousfield-Kan's $\mathbb{Z}$-completion [BK72].

Corollary 5.2. *The canonical map*

$$\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)$$

is surjective for $n \geq \max(2l, l + \mathrm{sr}(R) - 1)$ and is bijective for $n \geq \max(2l + 1, l + \mathrm{sr}(R))$. Furthermore, if $n \geq \max(2l + 1, l + \mathrm{sr}(R))$, then we have

$$K_{l,n}(R) \simeq \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R).$$

Proof. Note that $B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+$ is an H-space, and thus the action of $\pi_1(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+)$ on $\pi_*(B\,\mathrm{GL}(R)^+)$ is trivial. Let $l \geq 1$. It follows from Theorem 5.1 that the action of $\pi_1(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R))$ on $\pi_*(P_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+))$ is trivial for $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R))$, where P_l is the l-th Postnikov section. Therefore, by [BK72, Ch V, 3.1], the canonical map

$$P_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}_\infty P_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+)$$

is a weak equivalence.

On the other hand, by [BK72, Ch IV, 5.1], the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}_\infty P_l(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+)$$

is an l-equivalence, i.e. induces isomorphisms on the i-th homotopy groups with $i \leq l$. By [BK72, Ch I, 5.5], the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Combining these, we obtain an isomorphism

$$K_{l,n}(R) \simeq \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$$

for $n \geq \max(2l + 1, l + \mathrm{sr}(R))$. It follows from Theorem 5.1 that the canonical map

$$\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)$$

is bijective for $n \geq \max(2l + 1, l + \mathrm{sr}(R))$.

Finally, for $n \geq \max(2l, l + \mathrm{sr}(R) - 1)$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+) & \longleftarrow & \pi_l B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R)^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)^+) & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \pi_l B\,\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)^+.
\end{array}$$

Since the right vertical map is surjective by Theorem 5.1, the left vertical map is also surjective. This completes the proof. □

5.3. **The K-theory pro excision.**

5.3.1. Let R be an associative unital ring, and A a two-sided ideal of R. For $l \geq 1$, we define the l-th relative K-group $K_l(R, A)$ by

$$K_l(R, A) := \pi_l \operatorname{hofib}(B\operatorname{GL}(R)^+ \to B\operatorname{GL}(R/A)^+).$$

The following theorem is a consequence of the homology pro stability, which implies the K-theory pro excision.

Theorem 5.3. *Let R be an associative unital ring and A a two-sided ideal of R. Assume that A is pro unital commutative. Then there is a canonical pro isomorphism*

$$\{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\operatorname{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m \to \{K_l(R, A^m)\}_m$$

for $l \geq 1$ and $n \geq \max(2l+3, l+\operatorname{sr}(R/A)+1, l+\operatorname{sr}(R), 2l+\operatorname{sr}(A)-1)$.

Remark 5.4. We do not know we can use the plus construction instead.

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemma, which is the pro version of the fiber lemma in [BK72].

Lemma 5.5. *Let E, B be pro systems of pointed simplicial sets with a level morphism $p\colon E \to B$. Suppose that p is a levelwise fibration and that the fiber $F = p^{-1}(*)$ is levelwise connected. Further, assume that $\pi_1 B$ acts pro trivially on $H_l(F)$ for every $1 \leq l \leq n$. Then the canonical map*

$$\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty F \simeq \pi_l \operatorname{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_\infty p} \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is a pro isomorphism for $0 \leq l \leq n$.

We prove the lemma in §A

Lemma 5.6. *Let R be an associative unital ring and A a two-sided ideal of R. We define $\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)$ to be the image of $\operatorname{GL}_n(R) \to \operatorname{GL}_n(R/A)$, and set $G_n(R, A) := \operatorname{GL}_n(R/A)/\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)$.*

(i) For $n \geq \max(3, \operatorname{sr}(R/A)+1)$, there is a natural homotopy fiber sequence

$$B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \longrightarrow B\operatorname{GL}_n(R/A)^+ \longrightarrow BG_n(R, A).$$

(ii) The canonical map

$$\pi_l B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_l B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_{n+1}(R/A)^+$$

is surjective for $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max(2l, l+\operatorname{sr}(R/A)-1)$, and is bijective for $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\operatorname{sr}(R/A))$. Also, the canonical map

$$\pi_1 B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_1 B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_{n+1}(R/A)^+$$

is bijective for $n \geq \max(3, 1+\operatorname{sr}(R/A), \operatorname{sr}(R))$.

(iii) There exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\pi_l B\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \simeq \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty \overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)$$

for $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\operatorname{sr}(R/A), \operatorname{sr}(R))$.

Proof. (i) First, note that the canonical map $E_n(R) \to E_n(R/A)$ is surjective, and thus we have $E_n(R/A) \subset \overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n(R/A)$.

Suppose that $n \geq \max(3, \operatorname{sr}(R/A)+1)$. Then, it follows from the stability for K_1 (Theorem 1.12) that

$$E_n(R/A) = [E_n(R/A), E_n(R/A)] = [\operatorname{GL}_n(R/A), \operatorname{GL}_n(R/A)],$$

and thus $E_n(R/A)$ is the maximal perfect normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)$. Now, we have a diagram of groups

(5.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & E_n(R/A) & = & E_n(R/A) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
1 & \to & \overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A) & \to & \mathrm{GL}_n(R/A) & \to & G_n(R,A) & \to & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
1 & \to & \overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)/E_n(R/A) & \to & \mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)/E_n(R/A) & \to & G_n(R,A) & \to & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. It follows that the canonical map $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A) \to BG_n(R,A)$ factors through $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+$.

Let H be the homotopy fiber of $B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+ \to BG_n(R,A)$. We show that $H \simeq B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+$. It follows from the diagram 5.1 that $\pi_1 H = \overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)/E_n(R/A)$. Hence, it remains to show that the canonical map $B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A) \to H$ induces homology isomorphisms $H_*(\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_*(H)$. This immediately follows by comparing the two homotopy fiber sequences;

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Omega BG_n(R,A) & \to & B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A) & \to & B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A) \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega BG_n(R,A) & \to & H & \to & B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+.
\end{array}$$

(ii) Suppose that $n \geq \max(3, \mathrm{sr}(R/A)+1)$. By (i), the canonical map

$$\pi_l B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_l B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)^+$$

is an isomorphism for $l \geq 2$. It follows from Suslin's stability (Theorem 5.1) that the canonical map

$$\pi_l B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_l B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_{n+1}(R/A)^+ \tag{5.2}$$

is surjective for $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max(2l, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A)-1)$, and bijective for $l \geq 2$ and $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A))$.

Only the case $l = 1$ remains. Suppose that $n \geq \max(3, \mathrm{sr}(R/A)+1, \mathrm{sr}(R))$. Then $E_{n+1}(R)$ (resp. $E_n(R/A)$) is the perfect normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)$ (resp. $\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)$), and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{GL}_n(R) & \to & \mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)/E_n(R/A) & \to & G_n(R,A) & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R)/E_{n+1}(R) & \to & \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(R/A)/E_{n+1}(R/A) & \to & G_{n+1}(R,A) & \to & 0.
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. According to the stability for K_1 (Theorem 1.12), the left vertical map is surjective and the middle vertical map is bijective, and thus the right vertical map $G_n(R,A) \to G_{n+1}(R,A)$ is bijective. Hence, it follows that the canonical

$$\pi_1 B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to \pi_1 B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_{n+1}(R/A)^+$$

is also bijective.

(iii) Let $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), \mathrm{sr}(R))$. According to (ii), the canonical map $B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \to B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}(R/A)^+$ is an l-equivalence. It follows that the l-th Postonikov section $P_l(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+)$ is an H-space. Hence, by [BK72, Ch V, 3.1], we have an weak equivalence

$$P_l(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\infty P_l(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+).$$

We know that the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+) \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty P_l(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+)$$

is an l-equivalence ([BK72, Ch IV, 5.1]), and that the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}_\infty(B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+)$$

is a weak equivalence ([BK72, Ch I, 5.5]). Consequently, we obtain the desired isomorphism

$$\pi_l B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)^+ \simeq \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty \overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A).$$

□

5.3.2. *Proof of Theorem 5.3.* Let R be an associative unital ring, A a two-sided ideal of R, and $l \geq 1$. Set

$$\Phi_n(R,A) := \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)).$$

Then, by Corollary 5.2 and five lemma, the canonical map

$$\pi_l \Phi_n(R,A) \to K_l(R,A) \tag{5.3}$$

is surjective for $n \geq \max(2l+2, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), l+\mathrm{sr}(R)-1)$ and is bijective for $n \geq \max(2l+3, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A)+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R))$.

It follows from Lemma 5.6 that the canonical map

$$\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A) \to \pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R/A)$$

is injective for $l=1$ and $n \geq \max(3, 1+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), \mathrm{sr}(R))$ and bijective for $l>1$ and $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), \mathrm{sr}(R))$. Set

$$F_n(R,A) := \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\overline{\mathrm{GL}}_n(R/A)).$$

It follows that the canonical map

$$\pi_l F_n(R,A) \to \pi_l \Phi_n(R,A) \tag{5.4}$$

is an isomorphism for $l \geq 1$ and $n \geq \max(2l+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), \mathrm{sr}(R))$.

By (5.3) and (5.4), the canonical map

$$\pi_l F_n(R,A) \to K_l(R,A)$$

is surjective for $n \geq \max(2l+2, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A), l+\mathrm{sr}(R)-1)$ and is bijective for $n \geq \max(2l+3, l+\mathrm{sr}(R/A)+1, l+\mathrm{sr}(R))$. Hence, it remains to show that the canonical map

$$\{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\} \to \{\pi_l F_n(R, A^m)\}$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$. By Lemma 5.5, we only have to show that the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ on $\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}$ is pro trivial for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$.

Assume that A is pro unital commutative. According to the Corollary 4.6, the canonical map

$$\alpha\colon \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m))\}_m \to \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$. It also follows that the map

$$\beta\colon \left\{H_l\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{GL}_n(A^m) \end{pmatrix}\right)\right\}_m \to \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \geq 2l + \mathrm{sr}(A) - 1$.

For each $m \geq 1$, choose $s(m) \geq m$ such that if $\alpha(a) = 0$ for $a \in H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^{s(m)}))$ then $\iota_{s(m),m}(a) = 0$. Next, choose $t(m) > s(m)$ such that for every $x \in H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^{t(m)}))$ there exists $y \in H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A^{s(m)}))$ with $\iota_{t(m),s(m)}(\alpha(x)) = \beta(y)$. Then, for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\iota_{t(m),s(m)}(gx)) &= \iota_{t(m),s(m)}(g)\beta(y) \\ &= \beta(y) \\ &= \alpha(\iota_{t(m),s(m)}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\iota_{t(m),m}(gx) = \iota_{t(m),m}(x)$. This completes the proof.

5.3.3. *Remarks.* Let R be an associative unital ring and A a two-sided ideal of R. Assume that A is pro unital. Geisser-Hesselholt ([GH06]) has shown that the action of $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ on $\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}(A^m))\}_m$ is pro trivial. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 5.3, it follows that there is a canonical pro isomorphism

$$\{\pi_l \mathbb{Z}_\infty B\,\mathrm{GL}_n(A^m)\}_m \to \{K_l(R, A^m)\}_m$$

for $l \geq 1$.

From Theorem 5.3 and the above observation, we obtain a strange consequence. Let A be a pro unital commutative ring which is an ideal of a unital ring of finite stable range. Then the canonical map

$$\{H_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(A))\}_m \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{H_l(\mathrm{GL}(A^m))\}_m$$

is a pro isomorphism for $n \gg l$.

Appendix A. Proof of the pro fiber lemma

A.1. **Introduction to the appendix.**

A.1.1. The leading object of study in this appendix is Bousfield-Kan's $\mathbb{Z}$-*completion* [BK72]. We denote it by $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$; a functor from spaces to spaces.

In this appendix, we call a simplicial set a *space*. Here, we consider general pro objects, i.e. pro objects whose index categories are arbitrary left filtered small categories. By a *pro space* (resp. *pro pointed space*, resp. *pro group*, etc.), we mean a pro object in the above sense in the category of spaces (resp. pointed spaces, resp. groups, etc.). We apply $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ levelwise to pro spaces.

We prove that the pro version of the fiber lemma in [BK72, Ch II].

Theorem A.1. *Let E, B be pro pointed spaces with a level morphism $p\colon E \to B$. Suppose that p is a levelwise fibration and that the fiber $F = p^{-1}(*)$ is connected (Definition A.7 (i)). Further, assume that the action $\pi_1 B$ on $H_l(F)$ is pro nilpotent (Definition A.13) for every $1 \leq l \leq n$. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_\infty p} \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is an n-equivalence of connected pro spaces (Definition A.7 (ii)).

A.1.2. *Outline of the proof.*

(1) In §A.3, we present the *principal fibration lemma* in [BK72, Ch II, §2]. This is a statement for ordinary spaces; $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ preserves homotopy fiber sequences coming from principal fibrations.
(2) In §A.4, we prove the *pro nilpotent fibration lemma* (Lemma A.19), which says that $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ preserves homotopy fiber sequences coming from pro nilpotent fibrations (Definition A.14). To prove this, we show that every pro nilpotent fibration is built from a tower of fibrations which are pro isomorphic to principal fibrations (Lemma A.18).
(3) In §A.5, we deduce Theorem A.1 from the pro nilpotent fibration lemma.

A.2. **Preliminaries.**

A.2.1. Let Sp be the category of spaces with the standard model structure [GJ99]. Let I be a small category. The category Sp^I of I-*diagram spaces* has the *injective model structure* [Ja15]. Here, weak equivalence and cofibration are defined levelwise, and fibration (we call *injective fibration*) is defined by the lifting property. This model structure is proper, simplicial and cofibrantly generated.

A *cofinite strongly directed set* is a poset in which any two elements have an upper bound and any element has finitely many smaller elements than itself.

Lemma A.2. *Let J be a cofinite strongly directed set. Then a morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of J^{op}-diagram spaces is an injective fibration if and only if it is a special fibration, i.e. for all $j \in J$*

$$X(j) \to Y(j) \times_{\lim_{i<j} Y(i)} \lim_{i<j} X(i)$$

is a fibration.

Proof. Firstly, we show that for every morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of J^{op}-diagram spaces there is a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} Y \tag{A.1}$$

where g is a trivial cofibration and h is a special fibration. For a given $j \in J$, suppose that we have constructed $g(i)$ and $h(i)$ for $i < j$ with the desired property. Then take a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(j) & \longrightarrow & Y(j) \times_{\lim_{i<j} Y(i)} \lim_{i<j} Z(i) \\ & {\scriptstyle g(j)} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle \tilde{h}(j)} & \\ & Z(j) & \end{array}$$

where $g(j)$ is a trivial cofibration and $\tilde{h}(j)$ is a fibration. We define $h(j)$ to be the composite

$$Z(j) \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}(j)} Y(j) \times_{\lim_{i<j} Y(i)} \lim_{i<j} Z(i) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} Y(j).$$

This inductive process yields a desired factorization.

Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be an injective fibration, and factorize it as (A.1). Then there exists a lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & = & X \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Z & \xrightarrow[h]{} & Y. \end{array}$$

It follows that f is a retract of h. A retract of a special fibration is a special fibration, and thus f is a special fibration.

Suppose that $f\colon X \to Y$ is a special fibration. Consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle \iota}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

with ι a trivial cofibration. We construct a lift $B \to X$ inductively. For a given $j \in J$, suppose that we have constructed lifts $B(i) \to X(i)$ for $i < j$. Then we have a j-th lift as indicated below;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(j) & \longrightarrow & X(j) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ B(j) & \dashrightarrow & Y(j) \times_{\lim_{i<j} Y(i)} \lim_{i<j} X(i) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & Y(j). \end{array}$$

Therefore, f is an injective fibration. □

A.2.2. *The strict model structure.* Let pro$-\mathsf{Sp}$ the category of pro spaces.

Definition A.3. A morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ of pro spaces is:

(i) a *strict weak equivalence* if it is isomorphic to a level map which is a levelwise weak equivalence.
(ii) a *cofibration* if it is isomorphic to a level map which is a levelwise cofibration.
(iii) a *strict fibration* if it a retract of an injective fibration in $\mathsf{Sp}^{J^{\mathrm{op}}}$ where J is a cofinite strongly directed set.

Theorem A.4 (Isaksen)**.** *With these definitions,* pro−Sp *forms a proper simplicial (not cofibrantly generated) model category.*

Proof. This is Theorem 4.15 in [Is04] under the identification of the special strict fibration with the injective fibration (Lemma A.2). The model category is simplicial by [loc. cit., Theorem 4.17]. □

Definition A.5. A commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

in pro−Sp is called *strict homotopy cartesian* if there is a factorization

$$Y \xrightarrow{i} Y' \xrightarrow{f'} W$$

where i is a strict weak equivalence and f' is a strict fibration such that the canonical map

$$X \to Z \times_W Y'$$

is a strict weak equivalence.

Lemma A.6. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

be commutative diagram in $\mathsf{Sp}^{J^{\mathrm{op}}}$ *where J is a cofinite strongly directed set. If it is levelwise homotopy cartesian, then strict homotopy cartesian.*

Proof. Factorize f in $\mathsf{Sp}^{J^{\mathrm{op}}}$ as

$$Y \xrightarrow{i} Y' \xrightarrow{f'} W$$

where i is a levelwise weak equivalence and f' is an injective fibration. Then f' is a strict fibration, and thus $Z \times_W Y'$ is a strict homotopy cartesian product. Also, f' is a levelwise fibration, and thus $X \to Z \times_W Y'$ is a levelwise weak equivalence. □

A.2.3. *n-equivalences and pro weak equivalences.*

Definition A.7.

(i) A pro space X is *connected* if $\pi_0(X) = 0$ as a pro system.
(ii) Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a morphism of connected pro pointed spaces.
  (a) f is an n-equivalence if the induced morphisms
  $$\pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(Y)$$
  are pro isomorphism for $i \leq n$.
  (b) f is a pro weak equivalence if it is an n-equivalence for all $n \geq 1$.

Remark A.8. Let X be a connected pro space. Let X' be the fiber of $X \to X_0$ with X_0 the 0-th Postonikov section. Then X' is levelwise connected, and the level map $X' \to X$ is a strict weak equivalence since X_0 is pro isomorphic to zero.

Lemma A.9. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be an n-equivalence between connected pro pointed spaces. Then the induced map*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty f\colon \mathbb{Z}_\infty X \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty Y$$

is an n-equivalence.

Proof. According to [Is01], $f\colon X \to Y$ is an n-equivalence if and only if the induced map $P_n X \to P_n Y$ on the n-th Postnikov sections is a strict weak equivalence. It is clear that $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ preserves strict weak equivalences. Since n-equivalences satisfy the two-out-of-three property, it remains to show that the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty X \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty P_n X$$

is an n-equivalence. This follows from [BK72, Ch IV, 5.1]. □

A.2.4. *Minimal fibration.*

Lemma A.10. *Let J be a cofinite strongly directed set. Let $E \to B$ be a levelwise fibration of J^{op}-diagram spaces. Then there exists an injective fibration $Z \to B$ which is levelwise minimal fibration fitting into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\theta} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array}$$

in $\mathsf{Ho}(s\mathsf{Set}^{J^{\mathrm{op}}})$ with θ invertible.

Proof. We may assume that $p\colon E \to B$ is an injective fibration. We construct a levelwise minimal injective fibration $\{Z_i\}_{i<j} \to \{B_i\}_{i<j}$ and levelwise weak equivalence $\{Z_i\}_{i<j} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{E_i\}_{i<j}$ over $\{B_i\}_{i<j}$ inductively. For a minimal element $j_0 \in J$, we take a minimal fibration $Z_{j_0} \to B_{j_0}$ which is a fiberwise strong deformation retract of $E_{j_0} \to B_{j_0}$ ([GJ99, Proposition 10.3]). Fix $j \in J$ and suppose that we have constructed $\{Z_i\}_{i<j} \to \{B_i\}_{i<j}$.

Since $E \to B$ and $\{Z_i\}_{i<j} \to \{B_i\}_{i<j}$ are injective fibrations, $\lim_{i<j} E_i \to \lim_{i<j} B_i$ and $\lim_{i<j} Z_i \to \lim_{i<j} B_i$ are fibrations. It easily follows from the definition that a limit of minimal fibrations is a minimal fibration if the limit is a fibration, and thus $\lim_{i<j} Z_i \to \lim_{i<j} B_i$ is a minimal fibration. Hence, the canonical map

$$B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} E_i$$

is a weak equivalence over B_j, and $B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i \to B_j$ is a minimal fibration. Since the map $E_j \to B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} E_i$ is a fibration (Lemma A.2), the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} E_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & E_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} E_i \end{array} \tag{A.2}$$

preserves the weak equivalence.

Take a minimal fibration $Z_j \to B_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} B_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i$ which is a fiberwise strong deformation retract of the left vertical map in (A.2). Since the composite of minimal

fibrations is a minimal fibration, $Z_j \to B_j$ is a minimal fibration. For $i < j$, we define the structured map $Z_j \to Z_i$ to be the composite

$$Z_j \longrightarrow E_j \times_{\lim_{i<j} E_i} \lim_{i<j} Z_i \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} Z_i.$$

Then we have a levelwise weak equivalence $\{Z_i\}_{i\le j} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{E_i\}_{i\le j}$ over $\{B_i\}_{i\le j}$, and $\{Z_i\}_{i\le j} \to \{B_i\}_{i\le j}$ is an injective fibration (Lemma A.2). This completes the proof. □

A.3. **Principal fibration lemma.**

Definition A.11. A fibration $E \to B$ between pointed spaces is called a *principal fibration* if its fiber F is a simplicial group which acts (from the right) on E in the way that $ef = e$ ($e \in E$, $f \in F$) implies $f = 1$ and that $B \simeq E/F$.

Lemma A.12 (Bousfield-Kan)**.** *Let $p\colon E \to B$ is a principal fibration with connected fiber, and $B' \to B$ a map of pointed spaces. Then there is a canonical weak equivalence*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty(B' \times_B E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\infty B' \times^h_{\mathbb{Z}_\infty B} \mathbb{Z}_\infty E$$

where $\times^h_{_}$ denotes the homotopy cartesian product.

Proof. Let $E' := B' \times_B E$. Then $E' \to B'$ is also a principal fibration, and the fibers of $E \to B$ and $E' \to B'$ are the same, which we denote by F. It suffices to show that the sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}_\infty F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_\infty E' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_\infty B' \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_\infty F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_\infty E & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_\infty B \end{array}$$

are homotopy fiber sequences. This is Bousfield-Kan's principal fibration lemma [BK72, Ch II, §2]. □

A.4. **Pro nilpotent actions and pro nilpotent fibrations.**

A.4.1. *Definitions.*

Definition A.13. Let π and G be pro groups with the same index categories. Suppose that π acts levelwise on G from left.

(i) The action of π on G is *pro trivial* if the morphism

$$\pi \times G \to G \quad (x, g) \mapsto xg$$

coincides with the canonical projection as pro morphisms.

(ii) The action of π on G is *pro nilpotent* if there exists a finite filtration

$$1 = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G$$

of levelwise normal subgroups closed under the action of π such that each G_j/G_{j+1} is abelian and that π acts pro trivially on it.

Definition A.14. Let $p\colon E \to B$ be a levelwise fibration between pro pointed spaces.

(i) p is *pro nilpotent fibration* if the fiber F is connected and the action of $\pi_1 E$ on $\pi_i F$ is pro nilpotent for all $i \ge 1$.

(ii) p is an *n-nilpotent fibration* if the fiber F is connected and the action of $\pi_1 E$ on $\pi_i F$ is pro nilpotent for all $1 \le i \le n$.

A.4.2. *Lemmas for pro nilpotent actions.*

Lemma A.15. *Let π be a pro group. Suppose that all pro groups below have the same index categories with π, and all actions are levelwise from left.*

(i) Let

$$1 \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G'' \longrightarrow 1$$

be a levelwise exact sequence of pro groups on which π acts. Then the action of π on G is pro nilpotent if and only if the ones on G' and G'' are pro nilpotent.

(ii) Let G be a pro group with an action of π. Suppose that there exists a finite filtration

$$1 = G_k \subset G_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_0 = G$$

of levelwise normal subgroups closed under the action of π and that the action of π on G_i/G_{i+1} is pro nilpotent for all $0 \leq i < k$. Then the action of π on G is pro nilpotent.

(iii) Let

$$E^r_{p,q} \Rightarrow H_{p+q}$$

be a bounded convergent levelwise spectral sequence of pro abelian groups. Suppose that π acts on E^∞_n and $E^r_{p,q}$ in a compatible way. For a given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if the actions of π on $E^r_{p,q}$ are pro nilpotent for all p, q with $p + q = n$ and for some r, then the action on E^∞_n is pro nilpotent.

(iv) Let A and B be pro abelian groups with actions of π. Suppose that the actions are pro nilpotent. Then the actions of π on

$$\mathrm{Tor}_n(A, B), \quad n \geq 0,$$

are pro nilpotent. Here Tor *is taken levelwise.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that the action of π on G is pro nilpotent, i.e. there exists a finite filtration

$$1 = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G$$

of levelwise normal subgroups closed under the action of π such that each G_j/G_{j+1} is abelian and that π acts pro trivially on it. Let $G'_j := G_j \cap G'$, which is a normal subgroup of G' and a one of G_j; set $G''_j := G_j/G'_j$. These groups fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & G'_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & G_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & G''_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & G'_j & \longrightarrow & G_j & \longrightarrow & G''_j & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & G'_j/G'_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & G_j/G_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & G''_j/G''_{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Hence, π acts pro trivially on G'_j/G'_{j+1} and G''_j/G''_{j+1}. This proves that the action of π on G' and G'' are pro nilpotent.

Conversely, suppose that the action of π on G' and G'' are pro nilpotent. Take a finite filtration

$$1 = G''_n \subset G''_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset G''_1 \subset G''_0 = G''$$

of levelwise normal subgroups closed under the action of π such that each G''_j/G''_{j+1} is abelian and that π acts pro trivially on it. Define G_j to be the pullback $G \times_{G''} G''_j$. Then these groups form a sequence of normal subgroups of G;

$$G' = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_1 \subset G_0 = G.$$

Since $G_j/G_{j+1} = G''_j/G''_{j+1}$, π acts pro trivially on the quotients. It follows that the action of π on G is pro nilpotent.

(ii) Induction on $k \geq 1$. The case $k = 1$ is trivial. Let $k > 1$. Then by the induction hypothesis, the action of π on G_1 is pro nilpotent. Since the action of π on G/G_1 is pro nilpotent by the assumption, it follows from (i) that the action of π on G is pro nilpotent.

(iii) Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that the action of π on $E^r_{p,q}$ is pro trivial for all p, q with $p + q = n$ and for some r. Since the spectral sequence is bounded and convergent, we have a finite filtration

$$F_s \subset F_{s+1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{t-1} \subset F_t = H_n$$

with $F_p/F_{p-1} = E^\infty_{p,n-p}$. Since $E^\infty_{p,n-p}$ is a sub-quotient of $E^r_{p,n-p}$, the action of π on $E^\infty_{p,n-p}$ is pro nilpotent by (i). Then it follows from (ii) that the action of π on H_n is pro nilpotent.

(iv) Since the action of π on A is pro nilpotent, there exists a finite filtration

$$1 = A_k \subset A_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset A_1 \subset A_0 = A$$

of levelwise subgroups closed under the action of π such that π acts pro trivially on A_j/A_{j+1}. We have a spectral sequence

$$E^1_{p,q} = \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}(A_{k-p}/A_{k-p-1}, B) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}(A, B)$$

on which π acts canonically. By (iii), we reduce to the case the action of π on A is pro trivial. Using the spectral sequence again, we reduce to the case the both actions of π on A and B are pro trivial. Then it is clear that the action of π on $\mathrm{Tor}_n(A, B)$ is pro trivial. □

Corollary A.16. *Let π be a pro group and E, B pro pointed spaces with the same index categories with π. Let $p\colon E \to B$ a levelwise fibration and F its fiber. Suppose that π acts levelwise on E and B in a compatible way. Then:*

(i) For $n \geq 1$, if the actions of π on $\pi_n B$ and $\pi_n F$ are pro nilpotent, then the action on $\pi_n E$ is pro nilpotent.

(ii) If the actions of π on $H_* B$ and $H_* F$ are pro nilpotent, then the action on $H_* E$ is pro nilpotent.

Proof. (i) Since we have a exact sequence

$$\pi_n(F) \longrightarrow \pi_n(E) \longrightarrow \pi_n(B),$$

the result follows from Lemma A.15 (i).

(ii) Consider the Serre spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(B, H_q(F)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(E).$$

Note that $E^2_{p,q}$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_p(B) \otimes H_q(F) \longrightarrow E^2_{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(H_{p-1}(B), H_q(F)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Lemma A.15 (i,iv) that the action of π on $E^2_{p,q}$ is pro nilpotent for all p, q. We conclude by Lemma A.15 (iii) that the action of π on $H_*(E)$ is pro nilpotent. □

The following result is needed in §A.5.

Corollary A.17. *Let π be a pro group, X a pro space with the same index category with π, and let $n \geq 0$. Suppose that π acts levelwise on X. If the induced action on $\pi_k X$ is pro nilpotent for $0 \leq k \leq n$, then the induced action on $H_k X$ is pro nilpotent for $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. By Lemma A.10, we may assume that X is a minimal Kan complex. Consider the Postonikov tower

$$X_\bullet := [\cdots \to X_2 \to X_1 \to X_0 \to X_{-1} = *].$$

Then $H_k(X) \simeq H_k(X_m)$ for $k \leq m$ by the Hurewicz theorem and Serre spectral sequence. Hence, it suffices to show that the action of π on $H_*(X_m)$ is pro nilpotent for all $-1 \leq m \leq n$. We prove this by induction on $m \geq -1$. The case $m = -1$ is trivial. Let $m \geq 0$ and suppose that the action of π on $H_*(X_l)$ is pro nilpotent for all $l < m$.

Note that we have a fiber sequence

$$K(\pi_m X, m) \longrightarrow X_m \longrightarrow X_{m-1}.$$

By induction and Corollary A.16, it suffices to show that the action of π on $H_*(K(\pi_m X, m))$ is pro nilpotent. Since X is levelwise minimal, $K(\pi_m X, m)$ is levelwise minimal Hence, by [Ma67, Lemma 25.1], the action of π on $K(\pi_m X, m)$ is induced from the one on $\pi_m X$.

Now, the action of π on $\pi_m X$ is pro nilpotent, and thus there exists a finite filtration

$$1 = H_{m,m_k} \subset H_{m,m_k-1} \subset \cdots \subset H_{m,1} \subset H_{m,0} = \pi_m X$$

of levelwise normal subgroups closed under the action of π such that each $H_{m,j}/H_{m,j+1}$ is abelian and that π acts pro trivially on it. Then we have a fiber sequence

$$K(H_{m,j+1}, m) \longrightarrow K(H_{m,j}, m) \longrightarrow K(H_{m,j}/H_{m,j+1}, m).$$

It is straightforward that π acts pro trivially on $H_*(K(H_{m,j}/H_{m,j+1}, m))$. Again, by induction and Corollary A.16, it follows that the action of π on $H_*(K(\pi_m X, m))$ is pro nilpotent. □

A.4.3. *A refinement of a pro nilpotent fibration.*

Lemma A.18. *Let E, B be connected pro pointed spaces with a level morphism $p\colon E \to B$. Assume that p is a pro nilpotent fibration. Then there exists a tower of levelwise fibrations*

$$E_\bullet = [\cdots \to E_2 \to E_1 \to E_0 = B].$$

such that

(1) *For each $n \geq 0$, there exist a levelwise principal fibration $\tilde{E}_{n+1} \to E_n$ and a strict weak equivalence $E_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}_{n+1}$ which makes the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{E}_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_n & = & E_n \end{array}$$

commutes.

(2) *There exists a pro weak equivalence $E \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_\bullet$ which makes the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & E_\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow \\ B & = & B \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By Lemma A.10, we may assume that $E \to B$ is a levelwise minimal fibration with B levelwise fibrant. Consider the relative Postnikov tower of p;

$$P_\bullet = \left[\cdots \xrightarrow{p_2} P_2 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} P_0 = B \right].$$

Since F is connected, we may identify P_0 with B. Now, each p_n is a minimal fibration with fiber $K(\pi_n F, n)$ [Ma67, Lemma 12.6]. We shall show that there exits a finite tower of fibrations

$$P_n = P_{n,n_k} \to P_{n,n_k-1} \to \cdots \to P_{n,1} \to P_{n,0} = P_{n-1}$$

such that for each $0 \leq j < n_k$ there exists a levelwise principal fibration $\tilde{P}_{n,j+1} \to P_{n,j}$ with a pro isomorphism $P_{n,j+1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{P}_{n,j+1}$ over $P_{n,j}$.

Fix $n \geq 0$. We rewrite $E := P_n$, $B := P_{n-1}$, $p := p_n$, and $\pi := \pi_n F$. Then the fiber of p is $K(\pi, n)$ and the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on π is pro nilpotent, hence there exits a finite filtration

$$1 = H_k \subset \cdots \subset H_1 \subset H_0 = \pi$$

of normal subgroups closed under the action of $\pi_1(B)$ such that each H_j/H_{j+1} is abelian and that $\pi_1(B)$ acts pro trivially on it.

Since $p\colon E \to B$ is a minimal fibration, it is identified with a twisted cartesian product

$$E = K(\pi, n) \times_\tau B \xrightarrow{p} B$$

for some twisting function

$$\tau\colon B_* \to A(K(\pi, n))_{*-1}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $A(K)$ is the subspace of the simplicial monoid $\mathbf{hom}(K, K)$ consisting of the invertible elements ([Ma67, §19]).

According to [Ma67, Proposition 25.2, 25.3], there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbf{hom}(K(\pi, n), K(\pi, n)) \simeq \mathrm{End}(\pi) \times K(\pi, n),$$

where End is taken levelwise. We can verify that the composite

$$B_* \xrightarrow{\tau} A(K(\pi, n))_{*-1} \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} \mathrm{End}(\pi)$$

factors through the canonical map $\pi_1(B) \to \mathrm{End}(\pi)$. Hence, the twisting function (A.3) induces maps

$$\tau_j \colon B_* \to A(K(\pi/H_j, n))_{*-1},$$

and we obtain twisted cartesian products $E_j := K(\pi/H_j, n) \times_{\tau_j} B$ and maps between them;

$$E = E_k \xrightarrow{p_{k-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{p_0} E_0 = B \qquad (p \colon E \to B)$$

Now, each p_j is identified with a twisted cartesian product

$$K(H_j/H_{j+1}, n) \times_{\sigma_j} E_j \xrightarrow{p_j} E_j \tag{A.4}$$

for some twisting function

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j \colon (E_j)_* &\to A(K(H_j/H_{j+1}, n))_{*-1} \\ &\simeq \mathrm{End}(H_j/H_{j+1}) \times K(H_j/H_{j+1}, n)_{*-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_1(E_j)$ acts pro trivially on H_j/H_{j+1}, the image of the twisting function can be taken as a pro simplicial group

$$G_j \subset \mathrm{End}(H_j/H_{j+1}) \times K(H_j/H_{j+1}, n)$$

whose projection $\bar{G}_j$ on $\mathrm{End}(H_j/H_{j+1})$ is pro trivial. For each $m \geq 1$, there exits $s > m$ such that

$$\iota_{s,m}(g) = 1 \quad \text{for all } g \in (\bar{G}_j)_s.$$

Hence,

$$K(H_j/H_{j+1}, n)_m \times_{\iota_{s,m}\sigma_j} (E_j)_s \to (E_j)_s \tag{A.5}$$

is a principal twisted cartesian product, and thus a principal fibration [Ma67, Proposition 18.7]. Take such an $s = s(m)$ for each $m \geq 1$ so that $s(l) < s(m)$ for $l < m$. Then (A.5) defines a pro system of principal fibrations, and it is pro isomorphic to p_j (A.4). This completes the proof. □

A.4.4. *Pro nilpotent fibration lemma.*

Lemma A.19. *Let $p \colon E \to B$ be a pro nilpotent fibration with fiber F. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is a pro weak equivalence.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}_\infty$ commutes with disjoint unions up to homotopy, we may assume that B is connected. Take a tower of fibration

$$E_\bullet = [\cdots \to E_2 \to E_1 \to E_0 = B]$$

as in Lemma A.18. Let $F_{m,n}$ $(m > n)$ be the fiber of $E_m \to E_n$ and let $F_n := F_{n,0}$. We prove by induction on $n \geq 0$ that the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F_n \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B).$$

is a strict weak equivalence.

In the following, we freely use Lemma A.6, which says that levelwise homotopy cartesian products (levelwise homotopy fibers) preserve strict weak equivalences.

Now, there exists a principal fibration $\tilde{E}_{n+1} \to E_n$ and a strict weak equivalence $E_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \tilde{E}_{n+1}$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{E}_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_n & = & E_n \end{array}$$

commutes. Watching the case $n = 0$, we obtain a strict weak equivalence

$$\mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B) \simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty \tilde{E}_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B).$$

By Lemma A.12, the right hand side is weak equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}_\infty \tilde{F}_1$, where $\tilde{F}_1$ is the fiber of $\tilde{E}_1 \to B$. Since $\tilde{F}_1$ is strict weak equivalent to F_1, we obtain a strict weak equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F_1 \simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_1 \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B).$$

The case $n = 0$ was done.

Let $n > 1$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_{n,n-1} & \longrightarrow & F_n & \longrightarrow & E_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & F_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & E_{n-1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & * & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

with levelwise cartesian squares. Let $\tilde{F}_n := F_{n-1} \times_{E_{n-1}} \tilde{E}_n$, then by Lemma A.12, the canonical map

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty \tilde{F}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty F_{n-1} \times^h_{\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}_\infty \tilde{E}_n$$

is a weak equivalence. By the induction hypothesis, we obtain pro weak equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_\infty F_n &\simeq \mathbb{Z}_\infty F_{n-1} \times^h_{\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}_\infty \tilde{E}_n \\ &\simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_{n-1} \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B) \times^h_{\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n \\ &\simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have a pro weak equivalence $E \simeq E_\bullet$ over E, and thus $F \simeq F_\bullet$. Hence, we obtain pro weak equivalences

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\infty F_\bullet \simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty P_\bullet \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B) \simeq \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B).$$

□

Corollary A.20. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let $p\colon E \to B$ be an n-nilpotent fibration (Definition A.13 (iii)) with fiber F. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is an n-equivalence.

Proof. Let $p_n\colon E_n \to B$ be an n-th relative Postnikov section of $p\colon E \to B$. The fiber F_n of p_n is an n-th Postnikov section of F. Now, p_n is a pro nilpotent fibration. It follows from Lemma A.19 that the canonical morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F_n \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is a pro weak equivalence.

By Lemma A.9, the canonical map $\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty F_n$ is an $(n-1)$-equivalence. We claim that the canonical map

$$\mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B) \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is an n-equivalence, which completes the proof of the corollary. Since $\pi_i(E) \to \pi_i(E_n)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n$ and a surjective for $i = n+1$, it follows from [BK72, Ch IV 5.1] that the induced map $\pi_i \mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \pi_i \mathbb{Z}_\infty E_n$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq n$ and a surjective for $i = n+1$. Then, the five lemma implies the claim. □

A.5. **Reduction to the pro nilpotent fibration lemma.**

A.5.1. *Notation.* For a space X, let $\mathbb{Z} \otimes X$ be the simplicial abelian group freely generated by X. We define $\mathbb{Z}X$ to be the inverse image of 1 under the augmentation $\mathbb{Z} \otimes X \to \mathbb{Z}$. For $k > 0$, we define $\mathbb{Z}^k X$ inductively by $\mathbb{Z}^k X := \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^{k-1} X)$. The assignment $k \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} X$ yields a cosimplical space $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} X$ in a canonical way ([BK72, Ch I]).

We consider the cosimplicial space Δ; $k \mapsto \Delta^k \in \mathsf{Sp}$. We denote by $\mathrm{sk}_s \Delta$ the s-skelton of Δ. We define

$$\mathbb{Z}_s X := \mathbf{hom}(\mathrm{sk}_s \Delta, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}} X) \in \mathsf{Sp},$$

where $\mathbf{hom}(-,-)$ is the function space. Bousfield-Kan's $\mathbb{Z}$-completion is the inverse limit of $\mathbb{Z}_s X$, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_\infty X = \lim_s \mathbb{Z}_s X$.

A.5.2. *Fiberwise $\mathbb{Z}$-completions.* Let $p\colon E \to B$ be a levelwise fibration between pro spaces with a levelwise connected fiber F, and let $n \geq 0$. Suppose that the action of $\pi_1 B$ on $H_i(F) = \pi_i(\mathbb{Z}F)$ in pro nilpotent for $i \leq n$. For $s \geq 0$, let

$$\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_s p\colon \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_s E \to B \tag{A.6}$$

be the (levelwise) fiberwise $\mathbb{Z}$-completion of p ([BK72, Ch I, §8]). Then $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_s p$ is a fibration with the fiber $\mathbb{Z}_s F$.

Lemma A.21. *The fibration (A.6) is an n-fibration for every $s \geq 0$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on $s \geq 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_0 F = \mathbb{Z}F$ is connected, we have an exact sequence

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_0 F) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_0 E) \longrightarrow \pi_1(B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_0 F) = H_1(F)$ acts trivially on $\pi_i(\mathbb{Z}_0 F) = H_i(F)$, the action of $\pi_1(\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_0 E)$ on $\pi_i(\mathbb{Z}_0 F) = H_i(F)$ factors through $\pi_1(B)$, and it is pro nilpotent for $i \leq n$ by our assumption.

Let $s \geq 1$. Then the fiber of $\mathbb{Z}_s F \to \mathbb{Z}_{s-1} F$ is isomorphic to

$$\Omega^s N^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} F) := \Omega^s \mathrm{Fib}(\mathbb{Z}^{s+1} F \to M^{s-1}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} F)),$$

where $M^j(X)$ is the j-th matching space of a cosimplicial space X. According to ([GJ99, Ch VIII, Lemma 1.8]), we have

$$\pi_* N^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} F) \simeq \bigcap_{i=0}^{s-1} \ker(\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}^{s+1} F) \xrightarrow{s^i} \pi_*(\mathbb{Z}^s F)). \tag{A.7}$$

We show that:

(a) $\Omega^s N^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} F)$ is connected.

(b) $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_s F)$ acts trivially on $\pi_*(\Omega^s N^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} F))$.

Let K^s be the homotopy fiber of the map

$$\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}F \xrightarrow{s^0\oplus\cdots\oplus s^{s-1}} \mathbb{Z}^sF \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}^sF.$$

Then $\pi_*N^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F)$ is a quotient of $\pi_*(K^s)$ by (A.7), and thus to show (a) it suffices to show that K^s is s-connected. Since $\mathbb{Z}F$ is connected,

$$s_0\colon \pi_1(\mathbb{Z}^2F) = H_1(\mathbb{Z}F) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_1(\mathbb{Z}F)$$

is an isomorphism, and thus K^1 is simply connected. Let $s > 1$ and suppose that K^{s-1} is $(s-1)$-connected. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K^s & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
\mathbb{Z}K^{s-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}F & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} s^i} & \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}^sF \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^0} \\
K^{s-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^s & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2} s^i} & \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}^{s-1}F,
\end{array}$$

where the rows and the left column are exact. By the Hurewicz theorem,

$$\pi_s(\mathbb{Z}K^{s-1}) = H_s(K^{s-1}) \simeq \pi_s(K^{s-1}).$$

Also, the map $\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}K^{s-1}) \to \pi_*(K^{s-1})$ is a split surjection. Hence, K^s is s-connected. This shows (a).

Since $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}F) \simeq \pi_1(\mathbb{Z}^2F)$ acts trivially on $\pi_*(\mathbb{Z}^2F)$, it also acts trivially on $\pi_*\Omega^1N^1(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F)$ by (A.7). Let $s > 1$ and suppose that (b) holds for $s-1$. Since $\Omega^sN^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F)$ is simple and connected by (a), the action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_sF)$ on $\pi_*\Omega^sN^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F)$ factors through $\pi_1(\mathbb{Z}_{s-1}F)$, and it is trivial by induction. This shows (b).

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Omega^sN^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F) & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
\mathbb{Z}_sF & \longrightarrow & \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_sE & \longrightarrow & B \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| \\
\mathbb{Z}_{s-1}F & \longrightarrow & \dot{\mathbb{Z}}_{s-1}E & \longrightarrow & B.
\end{array}$$

By the induction hypothesis, the action of $\pi_1\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_sE$ on $\pi_i\mathbb{Z}_{s-1}F$ is pro nilpotent for $i \le n$. Hence, it suffices to show that the action of $\pi_1\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_sE$ on $\pi_i(\Omega^sN^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F)$ is pro nilpotent for $i \le n$.

By (a) and (b) above, the action of $\pi_1\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_sE$ on $\pi_i(\Omega^sN^s(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}F))$ factors through $\pi_1(B)$; we show that this action is pro nilpotent. By (A.7) it is enough to show that the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $\pi_i(\mathbb{Z}^{s+1}F) = H_i(\mathbb{Z}^sF)$ is pro nilpotent. Since the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $\pi_i(\mathbb{Z}F)$ is pro nilpotent for $i \le n$, it follows from Corollary A.17 that the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $H_i(\mathbb{Z}F) = \pi_i(\mathbb{Z}^2F)$ is pro nilpotent for $i \le n$. Repeating this process, we see that the action of $\pi_1(B)$ on $H_i(\mathbb{Z}^sF)$ is pro nilpotent for $i \le n$. $\square$

A.5.3. *End of the proof.* Consider the diagram



where the row sequences are levelwise homotopy fiber sequences. Now, $\mathbb{Z}_sF$ is the fiber of the n-fibration $\dot{\mathbb{Z}}_sE \to B$. Hence, by Corollary A.20, we have an n-equivalence

$$\mathrm{hofib}_{\dot{s}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\infty\mathbb{Z}_sF = \mathbb{Z}_sF,$$

whose homotopy limit is $\mathbb{Z}_\infty F$. Also, note that

$$\mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{holim}_s \,\mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty\mathbb{Z}_sE \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B).$$

Therefore, by taking homotopy limit of the diagram, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{Z}_\infty F \to \mathrm{hofib}(\mathbb{Z}_\infty E \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty B)$$

is an n-equivalence.

References

[Ba64] H. Bass, *K-theory and stable algebra*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 22 (1964).

[BK72] A. K. Bousfield, D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and Localizations*, LNM 304, Springer-Verlag (1972).

[GH06] T. Geisser, L. Hesselholt, *Bi-relative algebraic K-theory and topological cyclic homology*, Invent. Math. 166, no. 2 (2006).

[GJ99] P. G. Goerss, J. F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Brikhäuser Velag (1999).

[Is01] D. C. Isaksen, *A model structure on the category of pro-simplicial sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353, no. 7 (2001).

[Is04] D. C. Isaksen, *Strict model structures for pro-categories*, Progr. Math 215, Brikhäuser, Basel, (2004).

[IK16] R. Iwasa, W. Kai, *Chern classes with modulus*, in preparation.

[Ja15] J. F. Jardine, *Local homotopy theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer (2015).

[Lo78] J.-L. Loday, *Cohomologie et groupe de Steinberg relatifs*, J. Algebra 54, no.1 (1978).

[Ma67] J. P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 11 (1967).

[Mo15] M. Morrow, *Pro unitality and pro excision in algebraic K-theory and cyclic homology*, J. reine angew. Math., Ahead of Print (2015).

[Su82] A. A. Suslin, *Stability in algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., 996, Springer, Berlin (1982).

[Su95] A. A. Suslin, *Excision in integer algebraic K-theory*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 208 (1995).

[Su96] A. A. Suslin, *Holomogy stability for H-unital $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 174 (1996).

[ST76] A. A. Suslin, M. S. Tulenbaev, *Stabilization theorem for the Milnor K_2-functor*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. 64 (1976).

[SW92] A. A. Suslin, M. Wodzicki, *Excision in algebraic K-theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) 136 no. 1 (1992).

[vdK80] W. van der Kallen, *Homology stability for linear groups*, Invent. Math. 60, no. 3 (1980).

[Va69] L. N. Vaserštein, *On the stabilization of the general linear groups over a ring*, Math. USSR-Sb. 8 (1969).

[We13] C. Weibel, *The K-book*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 145. Amerian Mathematical Society (2013).

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914 Japan.

E-mail address: ryomei@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp